arXiv:1302.0633v1 [math.CV] 4 Feb 2013

COMPLEX MANIFOLDS WITH MAXIMAL TORUS ACTIONS

HIROAKI ISHIDA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a notion of maximal actions of compact tori on smooth manifolds and study compact connected complex manifolds equipped with maximal actions of compact tori. We give a one-to-one correspondence between the family of such manifolds and the family of the pair of nonsingular fans in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of compact tori and complex vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying certain conditions. We construct not only the one-to-one correspondence but also a category isomorphism with suitable definitions of morphisms in these families, like toric geometry.

We obtain several results as applications of our classification; characterization of complex structures on moment-angle complexes and partial quotients, classification of holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions on compact connected complex manifolds of complex dimension n, and construction of concrete examples of non-Kähler manifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Maximal torus actions and minimal orbits 7
3. Complexified actions 9
4. Structure around minimal orbits in complex manifolds 11
5. Obtaining fans and their characterization 19
6. Transition functions and principal bundles 27
7. Quotients of nonsingular toric varieties 34
8. Morphisms, categories and category isomorphism 43
9. Moment-angle complexes and partial quotients 48
10. Nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions on complex n-manifolds 53
11. Possibility of being Kähler 55
Acknowledgements 58
References 59

Date: February 5, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25, Secondary 32M05, 57S25.

Key words and phrases. Torus action, complex manifold, toric variety, moment-angle manifold, LVM manifold, LVMB manifold, partial quotients, non-Kähler manifold.

The author was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

1. INTRODUCTION

Toric geometry was established around 1970 by Demazure, Miyake-Oda, Mumford etc. It provides examples of concrete algebraic varieties and finds many interesting connections with combinatorics, see [5],[10] and [19] for history. A toric variety of complex dimension is a normal algebraic variety over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ with an effective algebraic action of an algebraic torus $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ having an open dense orbit. On the other hand, a fan is a collection of cones in a real vector space with the origin as vertex satisfying certain conditions. A fundamental theorem in toric geometry says that there is a bijective correspondence between toric varieties of complex dimension n and fans of real dimension n. Among toric varieties, nonsingular complete toric varieties are well studied.

In this paper, we study complex manifolds with torus actions, which are siblings of nonsingular complete toric varieties from the viewpoint of compact torus actions. Let M be a connected smooth manifold, equipped with an effective action of a compact torus G. Then $\dim G + \dim G_x \leq \dim M$ for any point $x \in M$, where G_x is the isotropy subgroup at x of G. This inequality motivates us to consider the case when the equality holds for some x.

We say that the action of G on M is *maximal* if there exists a point $x \in M$ such that

$$\dim G + \dim G_x = \dim M.$$

The main concern in this paper is complex manifolds equipped with maximal actions of compact tori which preserve the complex structures. There are rich examples of such manifolds:

Example 1.1 (compact complex torus $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$)**.** Let Γ be a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^n$, that is, a cocompact discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $M = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ and let $G = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma \cong (S^1)^{2n}$ act on M as translations. The G-action on M preserves the complex structure on M. The isotropy subgroup is trivial at any point in M, so the action of G on M is maximal.

Example 1.2 (nonsingular complete toric variety)**.** Let M be a nonsingular complete toric variety and let G be the maximal compact torus of the algebraic torus acting on M. The G-action on M preserves the complex structure and it is well-known that M has a fixed point. Since $\dim G = \frac{1}{2}\dim M$ and $G_x = G$ at each fixed point $x \in M^G$, the action of G on M is maximal.

As is shown in [12], a compact connected complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus with at least one fixed point is biholomorphic to a nonsingular complete toric variety.

Example 1.3 (Hopf manifold)**.** Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|\alpha| \neq 1$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ so that

$$z \sim w \text{ if and only if there is } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } z = \alpha^k w.$$

The quotient $M = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}/\sim$ is a complex manifold which is diffeomorphic to $S^{2n-1} \times S^1$. We equip $G = (S^1)^{n+1}$-action on M as follows. Take an element $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\log\alpha$. Define an $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$-action on M by

$$(v_1,\dots,v_n,v_{n+1})\cdot[z_1,\dots,z_n] := [e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v_1+2\pi\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}v_{n+1}}z_1,\dots,e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v_n+2\pi\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}v_{n+1}}z_n],$$

where $[z]$ denotes the equivalence class of $z\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$. Clearly, the $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$-action on M preserves the complex structure and $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has the global stabilizers $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Hence the $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$-action descends to an effective $G=(S^1)^{n+1}$-action which preserves the complex structure on M. At the point $x=[1,0,\dots,0]\in M$, the isotropy subgroup is $\{1\}\times(S^1)^{n-1}\times\{1\}$ which is a $(n-1)$-dimensional torus. Therefore the action of G on M is maximal.

Example 1.4 (Calabi-Eckmann manifold (see [4] for details))**.** Define a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$-action on $(\mathbb{C}^k\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbb{C}^{m-k}\setminus\{0\})$ by

$$(g_1,\dots,g_m)\cdot(z_1,\dots,z_k,z_{k+1},\dots,z_m) := (g_1z_k,\dots,g_mz_m).$$

As in Example 1.3, take $\widetilde{\alpha}\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. Define a subgroup

$$H := \left\{(\underbrace{e^t,\dots,e^t}_{k},\underbrace{e^{\widetilde{\alpha}t},\dots,e^{\widetilde{\alpha}t}}_{m-k})\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^m \mid t\in\mathbb{C}\right\}.$$

The action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ restricted to H on $(\mathbb{C}^k\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbb{C}^{m-k}\setminus\{0\})$ is free, proper and holomorphic. Therefore, the quotient space $M:=(\mathbb{C}^k\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbb{C}^{m-k}\setminus\{0\})/H$ becomes a complex manifold. Thinking of S^{2k-1} and $S^{2m-2k-1}$ as the unit spheres in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ respectively, the inclusion $S^{2k-1}\times S^{2m-2k-1}\hookrightarrow(\mathbb{C}^k\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbb{C}^{m-k}\setminus\{0\})$ induces a diffeomorphism $S^{2k-1}\times S^{2m-2k-1}\to M$. In particular, M is a compact connected complex manifold. We give an action of $G=(S^1)^m$ on M as

$$(g_1,\dots,g_m)\cdot[z_1,\dots,z_k,z_{k+1},\dots,z_m] := [g_1z_1,\dots,g_mz_m]$$

for $(g_1,\dots,g_m)\in(S^1)^m$ and $[z_1,\dots,z_m]\in M$, where $[z_1,\dots,z_m]$ denotes the equivalence class of $(z_1,\dots,z_m)\in(\mathbb{C}^k\setminus\{0\})\times(\mathbb{C}^{m-k}\setminus\{0\})$. This G-action preserves the complex structure on M. At the point $x=[z_1,0,\dots,0,z_{k+1},0,\dots,0]$ with $z_1,z_{k+1}\neq 0$, the isotropy subgroup G_x is $\{1\}\times(S^1)^{k-1}\times\{1\}\times(S^1)^{m-k-1}$, that is, an $(m-2)$-dimensional torus. Therefore $\dim G+\dim G_x = 2m-2 = \dim M$, so the action of G on M is maximal.

More interesting and complicated examples are *moment-angle complexes*, *LV-M manifolds*, and *LVMB-manifolds* which are well studied (see [1], [2], [6], [14], [17], [18], [20] and [21] for details). Those manifolds are obtained as the quotients of complements of coordinate subspace arrangements in affine spaces $\mathbb{C}^m$ or in projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^m$ by free, proper, holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^k$-actions for some k. They are naturally equipped with actions of compact tori, and it is easy to see that the actions preserve the complex structures and maximal.

We consider the family $\mathscr{C}_1$ which consists of the equivariant biholomorphism types $[M,G]$ of compact connected complex manifolds M equipped with actions of tori G which are maximal and preserve the complex structures. As a combinatorial counterpart of $\mathscr{C}_1$, we also consider the family $\mathscr{C}_2$ which consists of the triple $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$, where Δ is a nonsingular fan in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the compact torus G and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the projection $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is injective and
2. the quotient map $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ sends the fan Δ to a complete fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$.

To each element $[M,G]$ in $\mathscr{C}_1$, we may assign a triple $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ which sits in $\mathscr{C}_2$ as follows. For a representative (M,G) of $[M,G]$, each connected component of the fixed point set of a circle subgroup of $(S^1)^n$ is a closed complex submanifold of M. If such a submanifold has complex codimension one, then, in analogy with the toric topology literature, we call it a characteristic submanifold of M (cf. [16, p.240]). One can see that the number of characteristic submanifolds of M is finite (possibly 0). Let $N_1, \dots, N_k$ be the characteristic submanifolds of M. We define an abstract simplicial complex Σ on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ as

$$\Sigma := \left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \mid \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Let G_i be the circle subgroup of G which fixes N_i pointwise. Define $\lambda_i\colon S^1 \to G$ so that

$$(\lambda_i(g))_*(\xi) = g\xi \quad \text{for all } g \in S^1, \xi \in TM|_{N_i}/TN_i.$$

We may regard λ_i as a vector in $\mathfrak{g}$ and put $C_I := \{\sum_{i \in I} a_i \lambda_i \mid a_i \geq 0\}$ for $I \in \Sigma$. Then, we have a collection Δ of cones C_I in $\mathfrak{g}$. One can see that Δ is a nonsingular fan in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since the action of G on M preserves the complex structure on M, we may consider the complexified action $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$. The vector subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie subalgebra of the global stabilizers of this complexified action. One can see that Δ and $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfy conditions (1) and (2) above and hence we have a map $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$.

Conversely, we can construct a compact connected complex manifold equipped with an action of a compact torus G which is maximal and preserves the complex structure from each element $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ in $\mathscr{C}_2$. Let $X(\Delta)$ be the nonsingular toric variety associated with Δ. One can see that the quotient $X(\Delta)/H$ is a compact connected complex manifold, where $H := \exp(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}}$. The action of G on $X(\Delta)$ descends to an action on $X(\Delta)/H$ which is maximal and preserves the complex structure. So we have a map $\mathscr{F}_2\colon \mathscr{C}_2 \to \mathscr{C}_1$ given by $\mathscr{F}_2(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) := [X(\Delta)/H, G]$.

Our main theorem in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.5 (See also Theorem 7.9)**.** *The maps $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are bijections between $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$. They are inverses to each other.*

Theorem 1.5 tells us that a representative (M,G) of each $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ is biholomorphic to the quotient of a nonsingular toric variety by a subgroup H of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Example 1.6 (compact complex torus $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ (continuation))**.** Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2n}$ be generators of the lattice Γ. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$f\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^n, \quad f(e_i) = \gamma_i$$

for all i, where e_i denotes the i-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. The map f descends to a surjective holomorphic homomorphism $\overline{f}\colon \mathbb{C}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. Through the exponential function $t \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t}$, we can identify $\mathbb{C}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ with the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{2n}$. So we have a surjective holomorphic homomorphism $\overline{f}\colon (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. The Lie algebra of $\ker \overline{f} =: H$ is $\ker f =: \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the quotient $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{2n}/H$. Remark that $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{2n}$ is the affine toric variety associated with the fan consisting of only the origin $\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Example 1.7 (nonsingular complete toric variety (continuation))**.** Let $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) \in \mathscr{C}_2$. In case $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$, condition (2) implies that Δ is a complete fan. Theorem 1.5 generalizes the correspondence between nonsingular complete toric varieties and nonsingular complete fans through [12, Theorem 1].

Example 1.8 (Hopf manifold (continuation))**.** Let $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and let $\alpha = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}}$. We may think of the Hopf manifold $M = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}/\sim$ in Example 1.3 as a Calabi-Eckmann manifold. In fact,

$$\mathbb{C}^n/\sim \to (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^*/H, \quad [z_1, \dots, z_n] \mapsto [z_1, \dots, z_n, 1]$$

is a biholomorphism, where H is defined as

$$H := \left\{ (\underbrace{e^t, \dots, e^t}_{n}, e^{\widetilde{\alpha}t}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1} \mid t \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

So Example 1.9 below contains the case of Hopf manifolds.

Example 1.9 (Calabi-Eckmann manifold (continuation))**.** Example 1.4 is suitable to explain Theorem 1.5. Consider the Calabi-Eckmann manifold $M = (\mathbb{C}^k \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^{m-k} \setminus \{0\})/H$. There are m characteristic submanifolds of M and each of them is

$$N_i := \{[z_1, \dots, z_m] \in M \mid z_i = 0\}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The circle subgroup G_i which fixes N_i pointwise is the i-th coordinate 1-dimensional subtorus of $G = (S^1)^m$. So one can see that the corresponding fan Δ is

$$\Delta := \{\mathrm{pos}(e_i \mid i \in I \cup J) \mid I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, k\}, J \subsetneq \{k+1, \dots, m\}\}$$

and the associated toric variety $X(\Delta)$ is $(\mathbb{C}^k \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^{m-k} \setminus \{0\})$, where $\mathrm{pos}(A)$ denotes the cone spanned by elements in A. The complexified action $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m \times M \to M$ is given by

$$(g_1, \dots, g_m) \cdot [z_1, \dots, z_m] = [g_1 z_1, \dots, g_m z_m]$$

for $(g_1, \dots, g_m) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and $[z_1, \dots, z_m] \in M$, so the global stabilizers coincide with H. Finally, we shall see that Δ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H satisfies the conditions (1) and (2). By direct computation, $p(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is spanned by the vectors $v_1 = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-k})$ and

$v_2 = (\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{k},\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{m-k})$. So we may identify $\mathbb{R}^m/p(\mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathbb{R}^{m-2}$ by

$$[x_1,\dots,x_m] \mapsto (x_1 - x_k,\dots,x_{k-1}-x_k, x_{k+1}-x_m,\dots,x_{m-1}-x_m).$$

Therefore the image of the fan Δ by the map $q\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$ is the join of fans associated with projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^{k-1}$ and $\mathbb{C}P^{m-k-1}$. In particular, $q(\Delta)$ is a complete fan in $\mathbb{R}^{m-2}$.

We also consider morphisms in both $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ and show that $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are not only bijections but also category isomorphisms (Theorem 8.5). As an application, we show that $\mathscr{F}_1$ gives a complete invariant as complex manifolds, that is,

Theorem 1.10 (See also Theorem 8.6)**.** *Let M_1 and M_2 be compact connected complex manifolds, equipped with maximal actions of compact tori G_1 and G_2 which preserve the complex structures on M_1 and M_2, respectively. Then, M_1 is biholomorphic to M_2 if and only if $\mathscr{F}_1([M_1,G_1])$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_2([M_2,G_2])$.*

We also study about complex structures on moment-angle complexes and partial quotients. A moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$, defined for any abstract simplicial complex Σ with a finite vertex set, is a topological space equipped with an action of a compact torus. In [20], Panov and Ustinovsky found a sufficient condition for a moment-angle complex to admit a complex structure invariant under the torus action. In this paper, we show that the condition given by Panov and Ustinovsky is necessary and sufficient condition, and any complex structure invariant under the torus action coincides with a complex structure constructed by Panov and Ustinovsky (Theorem 9.1). A partial quotient is a quotient $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ of a moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ by a subtorus S which acts on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ freely. We also characterize partial quotients which admit complex structures invariant under the quotient torus by S (Theorem 9.4).

As an application, we also give a complete classification of compact connected complex n-manifolds with holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions. The notion of nondegenerate action is introduced by Tien Zung and Van Minh in [22]. The classification of compact connected complex manifolds which admit nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions can be obtained by Theorem 1.5 and by the following:

Theorem 1.11 (See also Theorem 10.3)**.** *Let M be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension n. Then, M admits a holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action if and only if M admits an action of a compact torus G which is maximal and preserves the complex structure on M.*

We give a necessary condition for a compact connected complex manifold M with a maximal action of a compact torus G preserving the complex structure to admit a Kähler metric in terms of $\mathscr{F}_1([M,G])$ (Theorem 11.1). It turns out that we can obtain concrete examples of non-Kähler manifolds from elements in $\mathscr{C}_2$ which do not satisfy the necessary condition.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we see some properties of maximal torus actions. In Section 3, we briefly recall how to construct complexified action and prepare some lemmas for later use. In Section 4, we study the complex structure around minimal orbits. Especially, we give an explicit presentation of the complex structure around a minimal orbit. In Section 5, we assign a nonsingular fan Δ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and a complex vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ to a compact connected complex manifold M equipped with a maximal torus action which preserves the complex structure. We also characterize the pair $(\Delta,\mathfrak{h})$ which comes from (M,G). In Section 6, we construct a principal bundle over an open subset of M whose total space is a toric variety. This construction is the key of the proof of the main theorem. In Section 7, we study the quotients of toric varieties by subgroups of algebraic tori, and prove the main theorem. Throughout Sections 4 – 7, we use arguments similar to [12]. In Section 8, we define morphisms in $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ and show that $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are category isomorphisms. In Section 9, we study the complex structures on moment-angle complexes and partial quotients. In Section 10, we clarify the relation with holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions and give a complete classification of compact connected complex n-manifolds which admit holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions. In Section 11, we give a necessary condition for a compact connected complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus to admits a Kähler metric.

2. MAXIMAL TORUS ACTIONS AND MINIMAL ORBITS

Let M be a connected manifold equipped with an effective action of a compact torus G. Since M is connected and the action on M of G is effective, the normal subspace $T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x)$ must be a faithful representation of the isotropy subgroup G_x at x. Since the identity component G_x^0 of G_x is a subtorus of G, it follows from the faithfulness of $T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x)$ that

$$\dim G_x \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim M - (\dim G - \dim G_x)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in M$. The inequality (2.1) can be simplified to

$$\dim G + \dim G_x \leq \dim M \tag{2.2}$$

and this inequality (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\dim G\cdot x \geq 2\dim G - \dim M. \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.1. Let M be a connected manifold equipped with an effective action of a compact torus G. We say that the action of G on M is *maximal* if there is a point $x \in M$ such that

$$\dim G + \dim G_x = \dim M \tag{2.4}$$

i.e. the equality of (2.2) holds. We say that an orbit $G\cdot x$ through x is *minimal* if

$$\dim G\cdot x = 2\dim G - \dim M \tag{2.5}$$

i.e. the equality of (2.3) holds.

Of course, the existence of a minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ implies that the action of G on M is maximal. If the action of G on M is maximal, then there is a minimal orbit $G\cdot x$.

Roughly speaking, the maximal action on M means that there is no larger compact torus which acts on M effectively. We shall state this for later use.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a connected manifold equipped with an effective action of a compact torus G'. Let G be a subtorus of G'. Suppose that the action of G' restricted to G on M is maximal. Then, $G = G'$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\dim G = \dim G'$. Since the action of G on M is maximal, there exists a point $x \in M$ such that $\dim G + \dim G_x = \dim M$. Since $\dim G \leq \dim G'$ and $\dim G_x \leq \dim G'_x$, we have that

$$\dim M = \dim G + \dim G_x \leq \dim G' + \dim G'_x \leq \dim M \tag{2.6}$$

by (2.2). Therefore $\dim G + \dim G_x = \dim G' + \dim G'_x$. Using $\dim G \leq \dim G'$ and $\dim G_x \leq \dim G'_x$ again, we have that $\dim G = \dim G'$, proving the lemma. □

We shall state the following for later use, too.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a connected manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G. Let $G\cdot x$ be a minimal orbit. Then, the followings hold:*

1. *The isotropy subgroup G_x of G at x is connected.*
2. *$G\cdot x$ is a connected component of the fixed point set of the action of G restricted to G_x on M.*
3. *Each minimal orbit is isolated. In particular, there are finitely many minimal orbits if M is compact.*

Proof. Since the action of G is maximal, the representation $T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x)$ of G_x is faithful and

$$\dim G_x = \frac{1}{2}\dim T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x). \tag{2.7}$$

Consider the injective homomorphism $G_x \to GL(T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x))$ associated with the representation $T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x)$. Since G_x is compact and abelian, it follows from (2.7) that the image of the whole G_x by the homomorphism is a maximal compact torus of $GL(T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x))$. Therefore G_x should be connected, proving Part (1).

Since the image of G_x by the homomorphism $G_x \to GL(T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x))$ is a maximal compact torus of $GL(T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x))$, we have that

$$(T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x))^{G_x} = \{0\}.$$

This shows that

$$T_xM^{G_x} = T_x(G\cdot x).$$

This together with the slice theorem shows Part (2).

It follows from Part (2) and the slice theorem that each minimal orbit is isolated, proving Part (3). □

3. COMPLEXIFIED ACTIONS

Let M be a complex manifold and let a connected abelian group G act on M preserving the complex structure J. Then, under a certain condition, we can construct the *complexified action* on M of a complex Lie group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the action map is holomorphic.

For a vector v in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, we denote by X_v the fundamental vector field generated by v. For a vector field X on M, we denote by $\exp(X)$ the flow at time 1 which is a diffeomorphism M of to itself when $\exp(X)$ can be defined.

Any element in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ can be represented as $u \otimes 1 + v \otimes \sqrt{-1}$ for unique $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$ and hence it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ as real vector spaces. For simplicity, we denote by $u + \sqrt{-1}v$ the element $u \otimes 1 + v \otimes \sqrt{-1}$.

Let J be the complex structure on M. Suppose that JX_v is complete for any $v \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we define a map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ to be $(u + \sqrt{-1}v, x) = \exp(X_u + JX_v)(x)$ for $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$. We claim that the map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ is a holomorphic action.

First, we see that the map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ is an action. To see this, we see that the vector fields X_u, X_v, JX_u, JX_v commute with each other. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is commutative, $[X_u, X_v] = 0$. Since the flow of X_u preserves J and X_v, it also preserves JX_u and JX_v. So $[X_u, JX_u] = [X_u, JX_v] = 0$. Since J is the complex structure, its Nijenhuis tensor, $N(Z,W) := 2([JZ, JW] - J[JZ, W] - J[Z, JW] - [Z, W])$ vanishes for any vector fields Z, W on M. Setting $Z = X_u$ and $W = X_v$ we have that $[JX_u, JX_v] = J[JX_u, X_v] + J[X_u, JX_v] + [X_u, X_v]$ and each of the three terms on the right hand side is zero. So the vector fields X_u, X_v, JX_u and JX_v commute with each other. This shows that the map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ is an action.

Now we see that the flow of JX_v preserves the complex structure J on M. The flow of a vector field Y preserves J if and only if $[Y, JW] = J[Y, W]$ for each vector field W (see [13, Proposition 2.10 in Chapter IX]). Setting $Y = JX_u$ and W arbitrary, it follows from the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor and the assumption that the flow of $X_u = -JY$ preserves J that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = N(JY, W) &= 2([-Y, JW] - J[-Y, W] - J[JY, JW] - [JY, W]) \\ &= 2([-Y, JW] - J[-Y, W]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the flow of $Y = JX_u$ preserves J.

Finally, the action map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ is holomorphic, because its differential, which at the point $(X_u + \sqrt{-1}X_v, x)$ is the map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times T_xM \to T_{\exp(X_u+JX_v)(x)}M$ that takes $(u' + \sqrt{-1}v', X')$ to $X_{u'}(\exp(X_u + JX_v)(x)) + JX_{v'}(\exp(X_u + JX_v)(x)) + d(\exp(X_u + JX_v))(X')$, is complex linear.

We remark that the exponential map $\mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a surjective homomorphism because G is connected and abelian. So G is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G)$, where we think of the set $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G)$ of homomorphisms $\lambda \colon S^1 \to G$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{g}$ via the image of 1 by the differential of the composition $\lambda \circ e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\bullet} \colon \mathbb{R} \to S^1 \to G$. The action of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on M descends to an action of $G^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}/\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G)$. The $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-action on M is said to be the complexified action.

Example 3.1. Let $G = S^1$ act on a vector space $\mathbb{C}$ as a representation and let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be its character. Namely, the action $G \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is given as

$$g \cdot v = \alpha(g)v = g^k v \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The complexified action $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by the same formulation

$$g \cdot v = g^k v \quad \text{for } g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^*.$$

We also denote by α the character of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.2. Let G_1 and G_2 be connected abelian Lie groups and let $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$ be a Lie group homomorphism. The differential of α at the unit 1 of G_1, the linear map $(d\alpha)_1\colon \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$, sends elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_1)$ to elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_2)$. So the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}} := (d\alpha)_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a complex Lie group homomorphism $G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$. We also denote by α this complex Lie group homomorphism $G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let M_1 and M_2 be complex manifolds equipped with actions of G_1 and G_2 preserving the complex structures on M_1 and M_2, respectively. Let $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ be an α-equivariant holomorphic map, that is, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_1 \times M_1 \arrow[r, "\alpha \times \psi"] \arrow[d] & G_2 \times M_2 \arrow[d] \\
M_1 \arrow[r, "\psi"] & M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the action maps. Suppose that the complexified actions $G_i^{\mathbb{C}} \times M_i \to M_i$ can be defined for both $i = 1, 2$. Then, it follows from the definition of the complexified actions and the holomorphicity of ψ that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \times M_1 \arrow[r, "\alpha \times \psi"] \arrow[d] & G_2^{\mathbb{C}} \times M_2 \arrow[d] \\
M_1 \arrow[r, "\psi"] & M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. So ψ is an α-equivariant holomorphic map with respect to the complexified homomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The complexified action $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ might not be effective. We denote by $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ the subgroup which consists of global stabilizers, that is,

$$Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}} := \{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \mid g \cdot x = x \text{ for all } x \in M\}.$$

We denote by G^M the quotient complex Lie group $G^{\mathbb{C}}/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$. We regard G as a subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and of G^M when G acts on M effectively. The dimension of G^M should be at most the dimension of the manifold M. This follows from the following lemma and its corollary.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension n and let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ be holomorphic vector fields such that $Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_n$ is not zero, that is, there exists a point $x \in M$ such that $Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, the open subset*

$$S := \{x \in M \mid Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x) \text{ are linearly independent over } \mathbb{C}\}$$

is dense in M and connected.

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_\alpha \colon U_\alpha \to \mathbb{D}^n\}_\alpha$ be local holomorphic coordinate systems, that is, U_α's are open subsets of M such that $\bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha = M$, $\mathbb{D}^n$ is the polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and each φ_α is a biholomorphism. It suffices to show that $U_\alpha \cap S$ is dense in U_α and connected for each index α. Let z_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be the i-th factor of φ_α. Using these coordinates we can represent $Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_n$ as

$$Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_n = f \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n},$$

where f is a holomorphic function defined on U_α. For $y \in U_\alpha$, $Y_1(y), \dots, Y_n(y)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if $f(y) \neq 0$. Since f is holomorphic, the open subset $\{y \in U_\alpha \mid f(y) \neq 0\} = U_\alpha \cap S$ is dense and connected unless empty. Suppose U_{α_0} contains $x \in M$ such that $Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. For any index α, we can find a sequence of indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $U_{\alpha_j} \cap U_{\alpha_{j+1}} \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ and $U_{\alpha_k} = U_\alpha$ because M is connected. By induction on k, we can show that $U_\alpha \cap S$ is dense and connected. By the choice of α_0, $U_{\alpha_0} \cap S$ is not empty and hence $U_{\alpha_0} \cap S$ is dense and connected. Suppose that $U_{\alpha_k} \cap S$ is dense in U_{α_k} and connected and $U_{\alpha_k} \cap U_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ is not empty. Then, $U_{\alpha_k} \cap U_{\alpha_{k+1}} \cap S$ is not empty. So $U_{\alpha_{k+1}} \cap S$ is not empty and hence $U_{\alpha_{k+1}} \cap S$ is dense in $U_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ and connected.

Therefore, for any index α, $U_\alpha \cap S$ is dense in U_α and connected, proving the lemma. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let M be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension n. Let an abelian Lie group G act on M preserving the complex structure and let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be as above. Suppose that there exist a point $x \in M$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $X_{v_1}(x), \dots, X_{v_n}(x)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x$ is an open dense orbit.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Lemma 3.3 immediately. □

For later use, we state the fact that the dimension of G^M is at most the dimension of M as a corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let M and G be as above. Then, the dimension of G^M is at most the dimension of M.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Corollary 3.4 immediately. □

4. STRUCTURE AROUND MINIMAL ORBITS IN COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

Let M be a compact connected complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G. We assume that each element of G preserves the complex structure J on M. As we saw in Section 3, the action of G on M extends to an action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and of G^M.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a connected complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G. Suppose that each element of G preserves the complex structure on M. Then, each minimal orbit is a complex submanifold of M.*

Proof. Let $G\cdot x$ be a minimal orbit. Since each element of G preserves the complex structure J on M, each element of G_x also preserves J. Hence each connected component of fixed points of the action of G_x is a complex submanifold. This together with Lemma 2.3 shows that $G\cdot x$ is a complex submanifold of M, as required. □

Let $G\cdot x$ be a minimal orbit of M. For any $v\in\mathfrak{g}$, the fundamental vector field $X_v|_{G\cdot x}$ generated by v restricted to $G\cdot x$ is tangent to $G\cdot x$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the vector field $JX_v|_{G\cdot x}$ is also tangent to $G\cdot x$. This together with the definition of complexified action yields that $G\cdot x$ is invariant under the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and hence $G\cdot x$ is invariant under the action of G^M. Since G^M acts on $G\cdot x$ transitively, $G\cdot x$ is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to $G^M/(G^M)_x$. In order to describe the complex structure of $G\cdot x$ and its tubular neighborhood, we investigate the global stabilizers $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $(G^M)_x$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M and G as above. The global stabilizers*

$$Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}=\{g\in G^{\mathbb{C}}\mid g\cdot x=x \text{ for all } x\in M\}$$

are connected and have no element of finite order .

Proof. Any element of finite order in $G^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}/\operatorname{Hom}(S^1,G)$ is an element of G. Since the action of G on M is effective, $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ has no element of finite order.

Suppose that $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is not connected. Then, there exists an element $[v]\in Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ such that $[v]$ does not sit in the identity component of $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$, where $[v]$ denotes the equivalence class of $v\in\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We fix the representative $v\in\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $[v]\in Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$. If $v\in\mathfrak{g}$, then the action of G on M is not effective. Thus $v\notin\mathfrak{g}$. We have a circle action on M via

$$([t],x)\mapsto\exp(tX_v)(x)\quad\text{for } [t]\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

By definition, the action of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on M commute with the action of G. So we have the action of the compact torus $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\times G$ on M. Since v does not sit in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $[v]$ does not sit in the identity component of $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$, the action of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\times G$ on M has at most finite global stabilizers. Thus we have an effective action of a compact torus of dimension $\dim G+1$. This contradicts Lemma 2.2. Therefore $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is connected, proving the lemma. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let M,G and x as above. There exists a G_x-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_x: U\to\widetilde{U}$ near x, where U is a G_x-invariant open subset containing $x\in M$ and $\widetilde{U}$ is a G_x-invariant open subset of T_xM (with the natural complex structure) containing the origin.*

Proof. This can be shown by an almost same argument as [12, Proof of Lemma 5]. For convenience of the reader, we give a proof of the lemma here.

Suppose that the complex dimension of M is n. Let $\varphi: U\to\widetilde{U}\subseteq\mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic local chart near x with $\varphi(x)=0$. Identifying $\mathbb{C}^n$ with T_xM via the differential

$$(d\varphi)_x: T_xM\to T_0\mathbb{C}^n\cong\mathbb{C}^n,$$

we have a biholomorphism

$$\varphi' \colon U \to \widetilde{U}' \subseteq T_xM$$

whose differential at x is the identity map on T_xM. We want to obtain such a biholomorphism that is also equivariant.

Set

$$U' := \bigcap_{g \in G_x} gU.$$

By definition of U', U' contains x and hence is not empty. We now show that U' is open. The complement of U' is the image of the closed subset $G_x \times (M \setminus U)$ by the action map $G_x \times M \to M$. Since M is compact, so is $G_x \times (M \setminus U)$. Therefore, $M \setminus U'$ is compact and hence U' is open.[1]

To obtain an equivariant map, we average $\varphi'|_{U'}$: let

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \colon \int_{g \in G_x} (g \circ \varphi' \circ g^{-1}) dg : U' \to T_xM,$$

where dg is a Haar measure on G_x. By definition of $\widetilde{\varphi}$, the map $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is holomorphic, G_x-equivariant and its differential at x is the identity map on T_xM, but no longer biholomorphic in general. However, the implicit function theorem tells us that the restriction of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ to some smaller open subset U'' containing x is a biholomorphism onto the subset $\widetilde{\varphi}(U'')$ of T_xM. The restriction of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ to the G_x-invariant open subset $U_x := \bigcap_{g \in G_x} gU''$ is what we wanted. The lemma is proved. □

Let $2n$ be the real dimension of M (i.e. n is the complex dimension of M) and let m be the dimension of G. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $T_x(G \cdot x)$ is a complex vector space of complex dimension $(m-n)$ and invariant under the G_x-action. So $T_xM/T_x(G \cdot x)$ is a complex $(2n-m)$-dimensional representation of G_x. We decompose the representation $T_xM/T_x(G \cdot x)$ into the direct sum of irreducible representations

$$\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_{2n-m}},$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ denotes the complex 1-dimensional representation of G_x whose character is $\alpha_j \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_x, S^1)$. The following follows from Lemma 4.3 immediately.

Corollary 4.4. *There exists a G_x-equivariant local holomorphic chart*

$$\varphi_x : U_x \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$$

from an invariant open neighborhood U_x of x to a polydisc $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$, where the former factor $\mathbb{D}^{m-n}$ is a polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ with the trivial G_x-action and the latter factor $\mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ is a polydisc in $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. □

---

[1] We may prove that U' is open without the compactness of M, see [12, Proof of Lemma 5] for details.

Let $z_1, \dots, z_{m-n}, w_1, \dots, w_{2n-m}$ be the standard coordinate functions of $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. Corollary 4.4 implies that there exists a basis $(v'_1, \dots, v'_{2n-m})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_x$ such that the fundamental vector fields generated by them can be written as

$$X_{v'_j} = \sqrt{-1} w_j \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, 2n-m \tag{4.1}$$

through the coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_{m-n}, w_1, \dots, w_{2n-m}$. Here, we identify vector fields whose flows preserve the complex structure with holomorphic vector fields via $X \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{-1}JX)$.

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a* $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$*-equivariant local holomorphic chart*

$$\varphi_x \colon U_x \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$$

for an invariant open neighborhood U_x *of* x*, where* $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ *acts on the former factor* $\mathbb{D}^{m-n}$ *trivially and on the latter factor* $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ *as the complexified action on* $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ *(see Section 3).*

Proof. Let $\varphi_x \colon U_x \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ be as in Corollary 4.4. We sweep the second factor $\mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ by the complexified action of $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Because φ_x is G_x-equivariant and holomorphic, it intertwines the restriction to U_x of the vector fields that generate the complexified $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$-action on M with the restriction to $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ of the vector fields that generate the complexified $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$-action on $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. This together with the fact that φ_x is diffeomorphism between U_x and $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ implies that φ_x also intertwines the partial flows on U_x and on $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ that are generated by these vector fields; in particular, it intertwines the domains of definition of these partial flows.

Let v be an element in $(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since φ_x intertwines the partial flows on U_x and $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \mathbb{D}^{2n-m}$ that are generated by X_v,

$$\varphi_x \circ \exp(X_v) = \exp((\varphi_x)_* X_v) \circ \varphi_x$$

on a sufficiently small neighborhood of x. This means that

$$\varphi_x^{[v]} := \exp(X_v) \circ \varphi_x \circ \exp(X_v)^{-1} = \varphi_x \tag{4.2}$$

on a sufficiently small neighborhood of x, where $[v] \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}} / \operatorname{Hom}(S^1, G_x)$ denotes the equivalence class of $v \in (\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since both $\varphi_x^{[v]}$ and φ_x are holomorphic and (4.2), they coincide on the intersection of the domains of definition for φ_x and $\varphi^{[v]}$ because of the identity theorem. Namely, we have that

$$\varphi_x^{[v]} = \varphi_x \quad \text{on } U_x \cap \exp(X_v)(U_x).$$

Let u be another element in $(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Applying the identity theorem again, we have that

$$\varphi_x^{[u]} = \varphi_x^{[v]} \quad \text{on } \exp(X_u)(U_x) \cap \exp(X_v)(U_x).$$

We glue all $\varphi_x^{[v]}$ for $[v] \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and get a holomorphic map

$$\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{[v]} \colon \bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \exp(X_v)(U_x) \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}.$$

Since each $\varphi_x^{[v]}$ is biholomorphic, the map $\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{[v]}$ is a local biholomorphism. Moreover, $\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{[v]}$ is surjective. In fact, for any point $p \in \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$, take $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$e^{\ell} > \max\{|w_j(p)| \mid j = 1,\dots,2n-m\}$$

and put

$$v = \ell \sum_{j=1}^{2n-m} -\sqrt{-1}v_j' \quad \text{where } v_j' \text{ is as (4.1),}$$

then we have $p \in \varphi_x^{[v]}(U_x)$.

Since $\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{[v]}$ is a surjective local biholomorphism, the range $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ is simply connected and the domain $\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \exp(X_v)(U_x)$ of definition is connected, the map $\bigcup_{\xi\in(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{(\xi)}$ is a biholomorphism. By the construction of the map $\bigcup_{[v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \varphi_x^{[v]}$, it is $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant. The lemma is proved. □

We set a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant open subset

$$N(G\cdot x) := \bigcup_{[v]\in G^{\mathbb{C}}} \exp(X_v)(U_x),$$

where U_x is as in Lemma 4.5. $N(G\cdot x)$ is the minimal $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant open subset which contains $G\cdot x$. Thus, $N(G\cdot x)$ does not depend on a choice of U_x and hence $N(G\cdot x)$ is unique.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $N(G\cdot x)$ be as above. $N(G\cdot x)$ contains an open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit. In particular, M contains an open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4, it suffices to show the existence of a point $y \in N(G\cdot x)$ and elements $v_1,\dots,v_n \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $X_{v_1}(y),\dots,X_{v_n}(y)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\varphi_x : U_x \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ be as in Lemma 4.5. Let $z_1,\dots,z_{m-n},w_1,\dots,w_{2n-m}$ be the standard coordinate functions of $\mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. Clearly, there exist elements $v_1,\dots,v_{m-n} \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$(dz_i(X_{v_j}))(x) = \delta_{ij}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Hence we have that a function on U_x defined by

$$dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{m-n}(X_{v_1},\dots,X_{v_{m-n}})$$

is not zero on an open subset U'_x containing x. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that there exist elements $v'_1,\dots,v'_{2n-m}\in\mathfrak{g}_x\subset\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the fundamental vector fields are locally represented by

$$X_{v'_j}=\sqrt{-1}w_j\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$$

for $j=1,\dots,2n-m$. And then, a function

$$\begin{aligned}&dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{m-n}\wedge dw_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dw_{2n-m}(X_{v_1},\dots,X_{v_{m-n}},X_{v'_1},\dots,X_{v'_{2n-m}})\\&=\left(\sqrt{-1}\right)^{2n-m}w_1\cdots w_{2n-m}dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{m-n}(X_{v_1},\dots,X_{v_{m-n}})\end{aligned}$$

is not zero on the open subset

$$U''_x=\{y\in U'_x\mid w_j(y)\neq 0\text{ for all }j=1,\dots,2n-m\}.$$

This shows that $X_{v_1}(y),\dots,X_{v_{m-n}}(y),X_{v'_1}(y),\dots,X_{v'_{2n-m}}(y)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$ for $y\in U''_x$, proving the lemma. □

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{Z}_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^M$ of $G^M=G^{\mathbb{C}}/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}$ by definition. It follows from Lemma 4.6 that the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}^M$ is equal to the dimension of M.

It follows from Lemma 4.5 that $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on M effectively. Hence we may regard $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ as a subgroup of G^M. Also, we may regard $(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^M$.

Lemma 4.7. *The vector subspaces $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x$ intersect transversally and span the whole space $\mathfrak{g}^M$.*

Proof. We shall show that there exist elements $v_1,\dots,v_{2m-2n}\in\mathfrak{g}$, $v'_1,\dots,v'_{4n-2m}\in(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a point $y\in M$ such that $X_{v_1}(y),\dots,X_{v_{2m-2n}}(y),X_{v'_1}(y),\dots,X_{v'_{4n-2m}}(y)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. Because if we got such elements, then we have that $\mathfrak{g}+\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x=\mathfrak{g}^M$. Moreover, thanks to the dimensions of $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}_x$ and $\mathfrak{g}^M$, we will get that the vector spaces $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x$ intersect transversally.

We will use an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6. Let $\varphi_x\colon U_x\to\mathbb{D}^{m-n}\times\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ be as in Lemma 4.5. Let $z_1,\dots,z_{m-n},w_1,\dots,w_{2n-m}$ be the standard holomorphic coordinates of $\mathbb{D}^{m-n}\times\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. Then,

$$x_i:=\frac{z_i+\overline{z_i}}{2},\quad y_i:=-\sqrt{-1}\frac{z_i-\overline{z_i}}{2}$$

for $i=1,\dots,m-n$ form real coordinates of the first factor $\mathbb{D}^{m-n}$.

$$x'_j:=\frac{w_j+\overline{w_j}}{2},\quad y'_j:=-\sqrt{-1}\frac{w_j-\overline{w_j}}{2}$$

for $j=1,\dots,2n-m$ form real coordinates of the second factor $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. Since $\varphi_x(G\cdot x\cap U_x)$ is the subset of points such that $x'_j=y'_j=0$ for all j, there exist elements $v_1,\dots,v_{2m-2n}\in$

$\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$(dx_i(X_{v_k}))(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(dy_i(X_{v_k}))(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = m-n+i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m-n$ and $k = 1, \dots, 2m-2n$. By Lemma 4.5, there exist elements $v'_1, \dots, v'_{2n-m} \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ such that

$$X_{v'_j} = -y'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} + x'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y'_j}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, 2n-m$, where we represent $X_{v'_j}$ with the real coordinates x_i, y_i, x'_j, y'_j. We set $v'_{2n-m+j} = -\sqrt{-1}v'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2n-m$. Then,

$$X_{v'_{2n-m+j}} = x'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} + y'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y'_j}$$

and hence we have

$$dx'_j \wedge dy'_j (X_{v'_j}, X_{v'_{2n-m+j}}) = -((x'_j)^2 + (y'_j)^2) = -|w_j|^2.$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{m-n} \wedge dy_{m-n} \wedge dx'_1 \wedge dy'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx'_{2n-m} \wedge dy'_{2n-m} \\ &\qquad (X_{v_1}, X_{v_{m-n+1}}, \dots, X_{v_{m-n}}, X_{v_{2m-2n}}, X_{v'_1}, X_{v'_{2n-m+1}}, \dots, X_{v'_{2n-m}}, X_{v'_{4n-m}}) \\ &= (-1)^{2n-m} |w_1|^2 \cdots |w_{2n-m}|^2 dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{m-n} \wedge dy_{m-n} \\ &\qquad\qquad (X_{v_1}, X_{v_{m-n+1}}, \dots, X_{v_{m-n}}, X_{v_{2m-2n}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{m-n} \wedge dy_{m-n} (X_{v_1}, X_{v_{m-n+1}}, \dots, X_{v_{m-n}}, X_{v_{2m-2n}})$$

takes the value 1 at x, it is not zero on an open subset U'_x containing x. Thus, the vectors $X_{v_1}(y), \dots, X_{v_{2m-2n}}(y), X_{v'_1}(y), \dots, X_{v'_{4m-2n}}(y)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ for $y \in \{y \in U'_x \mid w_j(y) \neq 0 \text{ for all j}\}$. The lemma is proved. □

By Lemma 4.7, we have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x \oplus \mathfrak{h} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}^M = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x.$$

Now we are in a position to clarify the relation between $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(G^M)_x$. Remark that $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $(G^M)_x$ because $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on M effectively and fixes x.

Lemma 4.8. $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}} = (G^M)_x$.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}^M$ satisfy $\exp(X_v)(x) = x$. By Lemma 4.7, there exist unique $v' \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v'' \in \mathfrak{g}_x$ such that $v = v' + \sqrt{-1}v''$. Since $\exp(X_v)(x) = x$, $\exp(X_{v'}) \circ \exp(X_{\sqrt{-1}v''})(x) = x$. Thus $\exp(X_{v'})(x) = x$. This together with Lemma 2.3 shows that $v' \in \mathfrak{g}_x$. Therefore $v \in (\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. This shows that $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}} = (G^M)_x$, proving the lemma. $\square$

Now we can show the following which is an analogue of the slice theorem to our situation.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\varphi_x \colon U_x \to \mathbb{D}^{m-n} \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ be as in Lemma 4.5. Define $\psi \colon G^M \times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j} \to N(G \cdot x)$ to be*

$$[g, v] \mapsto g \cdot \varphi_x^{-1}(0, v).$$

Then, ψ is well-defined and a G^M-equivariant biholomorphism.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\psi} \colon G^M \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j} \to U_{G\cdot x}$ be the map defined by

$$(g, v) \mapsto g \cdot \varphi_x^{-1}(0, v)$$

for $g \in G^M$ and $v \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$. By definition of $\widetilde{\psi}$, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is G^M-equivariant. To show the well-definedness of ψ, it suffices to show that

$$\widetilde{\psi}(1, v) = \widetilde{\psi}(h, h^{-1} \cdot v)$$

for all $h \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ because $\widetilde{\psi}$ is G^M-equivariant. Since φ_x is $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant,

$$\widetilde{\psi}(h, h^{-1} \cdot v) = h \cdot \varphi_x^{-1}(0, h^{-1} \cdot v) = \varphi_x^{-1}(0, v) = \widetilde{\psi}(1, v).$$

and hence ψ is well-defined.

Now we show that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is surjective. To see this, we define a map $\pi \colon N(G \cdot x) \to G \cdot x$ to be

$$y \mapsto \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(tX_v)(y),$$

where v is the element in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-m} -\sqrt{-1}v'_j \quad \text{and } v'_j\text{'s are as in (4.1).}$$

Clearly, π is G^M-equivariant and hence $\pi(g' \cdot p) = g' \cdot \pi(p)$ for all $g' \in G^M$. To see the surjectivity of $\widetilde{\psi}$, we will see that

$$g \cdot \pi^{-1}(x) = \pi^{-1}(g \cdot x).$$

Since $\pi^{-1}(x) = \widetilde{\psi}(1, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j})$, it follows from the definition of $\widetilde{\psi}$ that

$$g \cdot \pi^{-1}(x) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(g \cdot x).$$

Take $y \in \pi^{-1}(g \cdot x)$. Since π is G^M-equivariant, $\pi(g^{-1} \cdot y) = g^{-1} \cdot \pi(y) = g^{-1} g \cdot y = y$. This shows that $g^{-1} \cdot y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. Thus, $g \cdot \pi^{-1}(x) \supseteq \pi^{-1}(g \cdot x)$. So we have that $g \cdot \pi^{-1}(x) = \pi^{-1}(g \cdot x)$ and hence $\widetilde{\psi}$ is surjective.

Now we show that if $\widetilde{\psi}(g_1, v_1) = \widetilde{\psi}(g_2, v_2)$ then there exists $h \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $g_2 = g_1 h$ and $v_2 = h^{-1} \cdot v_1$. Suppose that $\widetilde{\psi}(g_1, v_1) = \widetilde{\psi}(g_2, v_2)$. Then, $\pi(\widetilde{\psi}(g_1, v_1)) = \pi(\widetilde{\psi}(g_2, v_2))$. Thus, $g_1 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot x$ and hence $h := g_1^{-1} g_2 \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$. And we have that

$$\widetilde{\psi}(g_1, v_1) = \widetilde{\psi}(g_1 h, v_2).$$

Since $\widetilde{\psi}$ is G^M-equivariant and $h \in (G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\widetilde{\psi}(1, v_1) = g_1^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(g_1, v_1) = g_1^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(g_1 h, v_2) = \widetilde{\psi}(h, v_2) = \widetilde{\psi}(1, h \cdot v_2). \tag{4.4}$$

By applying φ_x^{-1} to (4.4), we have that $v_1 = h \cdot v_2$. Thus, $v_2 = h^{-1} \cdot v_1$. This together with the well-definedness of ψ tells us that $\widetilde{\psi}$ descends to a holomorphic injective map ψ.

Since $\widetilde{\psi}$ is surjective G^M-equivariant, so is ψ. So we have that ψ is a holomorphic bijective map. Because an injective holomorphic map is a biholomorphic map into its image, ψ is a G^M-equivariant biholomorphism, proving the lemma. □

5. OBTAINING FANS AND THEIR CHARACTERIZATION

Let us recall our setting in Section 4; M is a compact connected manifold of complex dimension n equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G of real dimension m. For such a manifold, we have shown that there exists a "nice" tubular neighborhood $N(G \cdot x)$ of a minimal orbit $G \cdot x$ (see Lemma 4.9).

We set

$$N := \bigcup_{G \cdot x:\ \text{minimal orbit}} N(G \cdot x).$$

By definition of N, N is a G^M-invariant open dense submanifold of M because N contains the open dense orbit of G^M (we will show that N is the whole manifold M in Section 7). For any subgroup G' of $G \subset G^M$, each connected component of fixed point set $N^{G'}$ is a complex submanifold of N.

Definition 5.1. A *characteristic submanifold* N' of N is a complex 1-codimensional connected component of fixed points $N^{G_{N'}}$ for some 1-dimensional subtorus $G_{N'}$ of G.

Remark 5.2. In case $m = 2n$, M has no characteristic submanifold because G acts on M simply transitively and hence M is a compact complex torus. In this case, all assertions in this section are tautological, trivial or do not have any sense. From here until the end of this section, we assume that $m < 2n$ unless otherwise stated.

To clarify the characteristic submanifolds, we shall see the isotropy subgroup at a point in $N(G \cdot x)$. By Lemma 4.9, each $N(G \cdot x)$ is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to

$$G^M \times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}.$$

For $[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2n-m})]\in G^M\times_{G_x^M}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2m-n}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ and $g'\in G$, if $g'\cdot[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2m-n})]=[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2m-n})]$ then g' should be an element of G_x. Suppose $g'\in G_x$. Then,

$$g'\cdot[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2n-m})]=[g,(\alpha_1(g'^{-1})v_1,\dots,\alpha_{2m-n}(g'^{-1})v_{2n-m})].$$

Therefore, the isotropy subgroup at $[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2n-m})]$ of G is

$$\{g'\in G_x\mid \alpha_j(g')=1 \text{ if } v_j\neq 0\}.$$

Conversely, for any subgroup F of G_x, the fixed point set $N(G\cdot x)^F$ is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to

$$\{[g,(v_1,\dots,v_{2n-m})]\mid v_j=0 \text{ if there is some } g'\in F \text{ such that } \alpha_j(g')\neq 0\}.$$

So $N(G\cdot x)^F$ has complex codimension 1 if and only if $\alpha_j|_F=1$ for all j except one j_0. Recall that $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2m-n}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}\cong T_xM/T_x(G\cdot x)$ is a faithful G_x-representation. Since $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ is faithful, we have an isomorphism $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})\colon G_x\to(S^1)^{2n-m}$ via

$$(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})(g)=(\alpha_1(g),\dots,\alpha_{2n-m}(g)).$$

Now we suppose that the subgroup F is 1-dimensional subtorus of G and consider the codimension of $N(G\cdot x)^F$. Let T_j be the j-th 1-dimensional coordinate subtorus of $(S^1)^{2n-m}$. The observation above implies that $U_{G\cdot x}^F$ has complex codimention 1 if and only if $F=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})^{-1}(T_j)\subset G_x\subset G$ for some $j=1,\dots,2n-m$. It turns out that $N(G\cdot x)$ intersects exactly $2n-m$ characteristic submanifolds of N. It follows from the compactness of M and Lemma 2.3 that there are at most finitely many characteristic submanifolds of N.

Let $N_1,\dots,N_k$ be the characteristic submanifolds of X and let G_i be the 1-dimensional subtorus of G which fixes N_i pointwise for each i. We define a simplicial complex Σ on the vertex set $\{1,\dots,k\}$ and vectors $\lambda_i\in\operatorname{Hom}(S^1,G)\subset\mathfrak{g}$ as follows. We set

$$\Sigma=\left\{I\subset\{1,\dots,k\}\mid \bigcap_{i\in I}N_i\neq\emptyset\right\}.$$

We assign a homomorphism $\lambda_i\colon S^1\to G_i$ to each characteristic submanifold N_i to be

$$(\lambda_i(h))_*(v)=hv, \tag{5.1}$$

where v is any normal vector $TN|_{N_i}/TN_i$ and $h\in S^1$.

Lemma 5.3. *For each $I\in\Sigma$, $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ is a part of $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of* $\operatorname{Hom}(S^1,G)$.

Proof. Let $I\in\Sigma$. By definition of Σ, $\bigcap_{i\in I}N_i$ is nonempty. Let $p\in\bigcap_{i\in I}N_i$. By definition of N, there exists a minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ such that $N(G\cdot x)\ni p$. Let $\psi\colon G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}\to N(G\cdot x)$ be as in Lemma 4.9. By definition of λ_i, there exists an injection $\rho\colon I\to\{1,\dots,2n-m\}$ such that

$$\alpha_j\circ\lambda_i(h)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \rho(i)\neq j,\\ h & \text{if } \rho(i)=j.\end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Since $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})\colon G_x\to(S^1)^{2n-m}$ is an isomorphism, $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(G_x,S^1)$. Thus, $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ is a part of dual basis of $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})$ and hence it is a part of basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G_x)$. Since G_x is a subtorus of G, $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G_x)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G)$. Therefore, $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ is a part of $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G)$, proving the lemma. □

By Lemma 5.3, for each $I\in\Sigma$, the cone

$$C_I := \mathrm{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i\in I) := \left\{\sum_{i\in I} a_i\lambda_i \mid a_i\geq 0\right\}\subset\mathfrak{g}$$

in $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by λ_i is nonsingular. We will see that the collection $\Delta=\{C(I)\mid I\in\Sigma\}$ of nonsingular cones becomes a fan later.

Remark 5.4. In case $m=2n$, there is no characteristic submanifold and hence $\Sigma=\{\emptyset\}$ and $C_\emptyset=\{0\}$. Thus, Δ is a fan consisting only the origin $\{0\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

As well as toric varieties, Hausdorff-ness of N tells us that the collection Δ of nonsingular cones does not have overlaps, that is, Δ is a fan. Let q be a point sitting in the free G^M-orbit in N. For each $v\in\mathfrak{g}$, we consider the curve

$$c_q^v\colon \mathbb{R}\to N$$

that is given by

$$c_q^v(r) := \exp(-rJX_v)(q) \quad \text{for } r\in\mathbb{R}.$$

For each $I\in\Sigma$, we set

$$N_I^0 := \bigcap_{i\in I} N_i \setminus \bigcup_{j\notin I} N_j$$

and

$$C_I^0 := \left\{\sum_{i\in I} a_i\lambda_i \mid a_i>0\right\}\subset\mathfrak{g}.$$

C_I^0 is the relative interior of the nonsingular cone C_I.

Lemma 5.5. *The curve $c_q^v(r)$ converges to a point in N_I^0 as r approaches to $-\infty$ if and only if $v\in C_I^0$. Moreover, in this case the limit point q' belongs to $N(G\cdot x)$ for every minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ such that $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I\neq\emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $v\in C_I^0$. By definition of Σ, N_I is nonempty. By renumbering characteristic submanifolds, we may assume that $I=\{1,\dots,\ell\}$ without loss of generality. Let $G\cdot x$ be a minimal orbit such that $N(G\cdot x)$ meets $N_1,\dots,N_{2n-m}$. By Lemma 5.3, $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{2n-m}$ form a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G_x)$ because $\dim G_x=2n-m$. Let $\psi\colon G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}\to N(G\cdot x)$ be as in Lemma 4.9. By renumbering α_j's, we may assume that $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m})$ is the dual basis of $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{2n-m})$. Then,

$$\psi^{-1}(N(G\cdot x)\cap N_j) = \left\{[g,w_1,\dots,w_{2n-m}]\in G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j} \mid w_j=0\right\}$$

and hence

$$\psi^{-1}(N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I^0)=\left\{[g,w_1,\dots,w_{2n-m}]\mid w_j\begin{cases}=0 & \text{for } j=1,\dots,\ell,\\ \neq 0 & \text{for } j=\ell+1,\dots,2n-m\end{cases}\right\}.$$

Suppose that $\psi^{-1}(q)$ is represented as

$$[g,q_1,\dots,q_{2n-m}]\in G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-1}(c_q^v(r))&=[-r\sqrt{-1}v]\cdot[g,q_1,\dots,q_{2n-m}]\\&=[g-[r\sqrt{-1}v],q_1,\dots,q_{2n-m}]\\&=[g,e^{2\pi r\langle\alpha_1,v\rangle}q_1,\dots,e^{2\pi r\langle\alpha_{2n-m},v\rangle}q_{2n-m}],\end{aligned}$$

where $[-\sqrt{-1}v]\in(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}=(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}/\operatorname{Hom}(S^1,G_x)$ denotes the equivalence class of $-\sqrt{-1}v\in\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x$. Since $v\in C_I^0$, the pairing $\langle\alpha_j,v\rangle$ is positive for $j=1,\dots,\ell$ and 0 for $j=\ell+1,\dots,2n-m$. Since q sits in the free G^M-orbit, $q_1,\dots,q_{2n-m}$ are not zero. Therefore,

$$\lim_{r\to-\infty}\psi^{-1}(c_q^v(r))=[g,0,\dots,0,q_{\ell+1},\dots,q_{2n-m}]$$

and hence $\lim_{r\to-\infty}c_q^v(r)\in N_I^0$.

Suppose that the curve $c_q^v(r)$ converges to a point q' in N_I^0. Let $G\cdot x$ be a minimal orbit such that $N(G\cdot x)$ contains q'. As before, we may assume that $I=\{1,\dots,\ell\}$ and the characteristic submanifolds that meet $N(G\cdot x)$ are exactly $N_1,\dots,N_{2n-m}$. Let $\psi\colon G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}\to N(G\cdot x)$ be as in Lemma 4.9. We also sort α_j's to be $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{2n-m}$ form the dual basis of $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{2n-m}$. We show that v should be in $\mathfrak{g}_x$ first. Suppose that $\psi^{-1}(q')$ is represented as

$$[g',0,\dots,0,q'_{\ell+1},\dots,q'_{2n+m}]\in G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}.$$

We consider the projection $\pi\colon G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}\to G^M/(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$ (this π is essentially same as π in the proof of Lemma 4.9). We have that

$$\pi\circ\psi^{-1}(\exp(-rX_v)(q'))=[g'-[\sqrt{-1}v]],$$

so $\sqrt{-1}v$ should be in $(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{h}$. Otherwise, it contradicts the assumption that q' is the limit point. Since $(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex vector space, $\sqrt{-1}v\in(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{h}$ implies that $v\in(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{h}$. For $v\in\mathfrak{g}$, it follows from the decomposition (4.3) and $v\in(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{h}$ that $v\in\mathfrak{g}_x$. Now we suppose that $\psi^{-1}(q)$ is represented as

$$[g,q_1,\dots,q_{2n-m}]\in G^M\times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$$

as before. Then, as we calculated before,

$$\psi^{-1}(c_q^v(r)) = [g, e^{2\pi r\langle \alpha_1, v\rangle} q_1, \ldots, e^{2\pi r\langle \alpha_{2n-m}, v\rangle} q_{2n-m}].$$

Since $c_q^v(r)$ converges to q' as r approaches $-\infty$,

$$\lim_{r\to-\infty}[g, e^{2\pi r\langle \alpha_1, v\rangle} q_1, \ldots, e^{2\pi r\langle \alpha_{2n-m}, v\rangle} q_{2n-m}] = [g', 0, \ldots, 0, q'_{\ell+1}, \ldots, q'_{2n+m}].$$

Thus, $\langle \alpha_j, v\rangle$ should be positive for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ and $\langle \alpha_j, v\rangle$ should be 0 for $j = \ell+1, \ldots, 2n-m$. This shows $v \in C_I^0$, proving the lemma. □

Corollary 5.6. (1) *For every $I, J \in \Sigma$, if $I \neq J$, then $C_I^0 \cap C_J^0 = \emptyset$.*

(2) *For every $I, J \in \Sigma$,*

$$C_I \cap C_J = C_{I\cap J}.$$

(3) *The collection of cones*

$$\Delta = \{C_I \mid I \in \Sigma\}$$

which was introduced Lemma 5.3 below is a fan, that is, every face of every cone in Δ is itself in Δ, and the intersection of every two cones in Δ is a common face.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Lemma 5.5 because the sets N_I^0 are disjoint. Part (3) follows from Part (2).

For Part (2), we only need to show the inclusion $C_I \cap C_J \subseteq C_{I\cap C_J}$ because the opposite inclusion is trivial by definition of C_I. Let $v \in C_I \cap C_J$. Let $I' \subseteq I$ and $J' \subseteq J$ be the subsets such that $v \in C_{I'}^0$ and $v \in C_{J'}^0$. Then $v \in C_{I'}^0 \cap C_{J'}^0$ and hence $I' = J'$ by Part (1). Therefore $v \in C_{I'}^0 = C_{J'}^0 \subseteq C_{I\cap J}$, proving the corollary. □

Lemma 5.7. *For every $I \in \Sigma$, the set N_I is a complex submanifold of N of complex codimension $|I|$, it is connected, and it contains a minimal orbit.*

Proof. Fix $I \in \Sigma$.

Because each of the sets N_i, for $i \in I$ is a closed in N, so is the intersection N_I of these sets.

N is the union of open subsets $N(G\cdot x)$ and every intersection $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I$ is a G^M-invariant complex submanifold of codimension I in N. It remains to show that N_I is connected and contains a minimal orbit.

Choose any $v \in C_I^0$ (for example, we may take $v = \sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i$), and choose any q in the free G^M-orbit in N. By Lemma 5.5, the curve $c_q^v(r)$ converges as $r \to -\infty$; let q' be its limit. Also by Lemma 5.5, for every minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ such that $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I \neq \emptyset$, the limit point q' belongs to $N(G\cdot x)$. Because N_I is the union over such $G\cdot x$ of the subsets $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I$ by definition of N, and because each of these subsets is connected and contains q', the union N_I is connected. Also, every minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ such that $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I \neq \emptyset$ belongs to $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I$; because the set of such $G\cdot x$'s is nonempty, N_I contains a minimal orbit. The lemma is proved. □

Corollary 5.8. *In the fan Δ, every cone is contained in a $2n-m$-dimensional cone.*

Proof. Every cone in the fan Δ has the form C_I for some $I \in \Sigma$. By Lemma 5.7, the set N_I contains a minimal orbit; let $G \cdot x$ be such a minimal orbit. As we saw in Lemma 5.3 above, $N(G \cdot x)$ intersects exactly $2n - m$ characteristic submanifolds which contain $G \cdot x$, say N_j for $J \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $|J| = 2n - m$. Then $J \in \Sigma$, and C_J is a $2n - m$-dimensional cone in Δ that contains C_I, proving the corollary. $\square$

Now we consider the quotient map $N \to N/G$ and compose it with c_q^v. Then, we have a curve

$$\overline{c}_q^v \colon \mathbb{R} \to N/G.$$

For $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, it follows immediately that $\overline{c}_q^{v_1} = \overline{c}_q^{v_2}$ if and only if $v_1 - v_2 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{h}$. Define an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map

$$\overline{J} \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \quad \text{by } \overline{J}(v) = [\sqrt{-1}v],$$

where $[\sqrt{-1}v] \in \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{g}^M$.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\overline{J}$ be as above.*

(1) *$\overline{J}$ sends a cone C_I to a cone of the same dimension.*
(2) *The image of the fan Δ by $\overline{J}$ is also a fan, that is, the collection of cones $\{\overline{J}(C_I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$ is a fan in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. For Part (1), by the fact that $\overline{J}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and Corollary 5.8, we only need to show that the cone $\overline{J}(C_I)$ such that $|I| = 2n - m$ has dimension $2n - m$. Suppose $|I| = 2n - m$. Then, N_I is a minimal orbit because N_I contains a minimal orbit by Lemma 5.7 and $\dim N_I = 2n - 2(2n - m) = 2m - 2n$. Let $x \in N_I$. By Lemma 5.3, $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_x$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Since the cone C_I is spanned by λ_i for $i \in I$, it suffices to show that the restriction $\overline{J}|_{\mathfrak{g}_x}$ is injective. Since $\mathfrak{g}^M = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_x$ by the decomposition (4.3), $\overline{J}|_{\mathfrak{g}_x}$ is an isomorphism, proving Part (1).

Suppose that $\overline{J}(C_I^0) \cap \overline{J}(C_J^0) \neq \emptyset$ and $I \neq J$. Then, there exist vectors $u \in C_I^0$ and $v \in C_J^0$ such that $\overline{J}(u) = \overline{J}(v)$, that is, $u - v \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$. Since $u - v \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$, the curves $\overline{c}_q^u(r)$ and $\overline{c}_q^v(r)$ coincide. Since the curves $c_q^u(r)$ and $c_q^v(r)$ converge to points in N_I^0 and N_J^0 as r approaches $-\infty$ respectively by Lemma 5.5 and N/G is Hausdorff, the curves $\overline{c}_q^u(r)$ and $\overline{c}_q^v(r)$ also converge to points in N_I^0/G and N_J^0/G respectively. This contradicts the fact that the curve $\overline{c}_q^u(r)$ coincides with $\overline{c}_q^v(r)$. Therefore $\overline{J}(C_I^0) \cap \overline{J}(C_J^0) = \emptyset$ for $I \neq J$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 5.6, Part (2) is proved. $\square$

Lemma 5.10. *The fan $\overline{J}(\Delta) := \{\overline{J}(C_I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$ is a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$.*

We will deduce Lemma 5.10 from the compactness of M. We begin by proving a special case:

Lemma 5.11. *Let M' be a compact connected complex manifold of real dimension $2n$ equipped with a maximal action of compact torus G' of dimension $2n - 1$. Then, M' contains exactly two minimal orbits and one free $G'^{M'}$-orbit.*

Proof. It is obvious that M' contains a free $G'^{M'}$-orbit.

Since M' is a complex manifold, M' is orientable. Consider the dimension of each orbit $G'\cdot x$. By the inequality (2.3),

$$2(2n-1)-2n = 2n-2 \leq \dim G'\cdot x.$$

Since $\dim G' = 2n-1$, we have that $\dim G'\cdot x = 2n-2$ or $2n-1$.

In case $\dim G'\cdot x = 2n-1$, the isotropy subgroup G'_x is finite and $\dim T_xM'/T_x(G'\cdot x) = 1$. Since M' is orientable and $\dim T_xM'/T_x(G'\cdot x) = 1$, G'_x should be the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$. Therefore $G'\cdot x$ is a free orbit.

In case $\dim G'\cdot x = 2n-2$, the orbit $G'\cdot x$ is a minimal orbit.

The quotient M'/G' is a real 1-manifold with boundary; its boundary is exactly the image of the fixed point set by the quotient map. Because M' is compact and contains at least one minimal orbit, and by the classification of 1-manifolds, the quotient M'/G' must be a closed segment. Because a closed segment has the boundary which consists of exactly 2 distinct points, M' contains exactly 2 minimal orbits, proving the lemma. □

Lemma 5.12. *Every $2n-m-1$-dimensional cone in Δ is contained in exactly two $2n-m$-dimensional cones in Δ.*

Proof. Let C_I be a $2n-m-1$-dimensional cone in Δ. Let G_I be the subtorus of G that is generated by the circles G_i for $i\in I$. By definition of G_I, $\dim G_I = 2n-m-1$. By Lemma 5.7, N_I is a connected complex manifold of complex dimension $m-n+1$, equipped with an effective action of the compact torus G/G_I of dimension $2m-2n+1$, which preserves the complex structure on N_I. We will now show that N_I is compact, and will deduce Lemma 5.12 from Lemma 5.11.

First note that N_I is a connected component of the fixed point set of G_I in N. This follows from the facts that N_I is connected by Lemma 5.7 and that, for each of the subsets $N(G\cdot x)$, if $N(G\cdot x)\cap N_I \neq 0$ then it is a connected component of the fixed point set of G_I in $N(G\cdot x)$. Let M_I denote the connected component of the fixed point set of G_I in M that contains N_I. By definition, M_I is a complex manifold equipped with an effective action of the compact torus G/G_I which preserves the complex structure on M_I. By Lemma 5.7, N_I contains a minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ with respect to the action of G on M. Since $\dim G\cdot x = 2\dim G - \dim M$, $\dim G\cdot x = 2\dim G/G_I - \dim M_I$ and hence the action of G/G_I on M_I is maximal. By Lemma 5.11, M_I contains exactly two minimal orbits, say $G\cdot x$ and $G\cdot y$. The intersection $N(G\cdot x)\cap M_I$, being a G^M-invariant tubular neighborhood of $G\cdot x$, must be all of $M_I\setminus G\cdot y$, because M^I consists of three G^M-orbits by Lemma 5.11. Similarly, $N(G\cdot y)\cap M_I$ is all of $M_I\setminus G\cdot x$. This implies that $N_I = M_I$.

N_I contains exactly two minimal orbits $G\cdot x$ and $G\cdot y$. The minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ (respectively, $G\cdot y$) is the intersection of exactly $2n-m$ characteristic submanifolds N_i for $i\in I$ and N_{i_0} for some $i_0\notin I$ (respectively, $i_1\notin I$). Therefore, $I\cup\{i_0\}\in\Sigma$ and $I\cup\{i_1\}\in\Sigma$ and hence $C_I\subset C_{I\cup\{i_0\}}\in\Delta$ and $C_I\subset C_{I\cup\{i_1\}}\in\Delta$. The lemma is proved. □

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 5.10.

Proof of Lemma 5.10. Let $|\overline{J}(\Delta)|$ denote the union of the cones in $\overline{J}(\Delta) := \{\overline{J}(C_I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$, and let $|\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ denote the union of the cones in $\overline{J}(\Delta)$ that have codimension ≥ 2. The complement $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is connected, open and dense in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$.

By Lemma 5.12, the union of the relative interiors of the cones of $\overline{J}(\Delta)$ of dimension $2n-m$ and of $2n-m-1$ is open in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$. The union is $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$. Thus, $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is also open in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$.

But because $|\overline{J}(\Delta)|$ is closed in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$, we also have that $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is closed in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$.

Because $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is open and closed in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ and $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is connected, we have that $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is either empty or is equal to all of $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$.

Because, by assumption, M has a minimal orbit, Δ has at least one $2n-m$-dimensional cone, $\overline{J}(\Delta)$ has at least one $2n-m$-dimensional cone, so $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is not empty. So $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$ is equal to all of $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \setminus |\overline{J}(\Delta)^{2n-m-2}|$. Taking the closures in $\mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$, we deduce that $|\overline{J}(\Delta)| = \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$, as required. $\square$

Using $\overline{J}$, we can characterize the fan Δ and the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. We have two short exact sequences and one projection:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{h} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, dotted] & \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{g}^M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dotted] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \ker\overline{J} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\overline{J}"'] & \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the surjection $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is induced by the quotient map $G^{\mathbb{C}} \to G^M = G^{\mathbb{C}}/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $p\colon\ \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the first projection $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. In order to make the diagram above commute, we define $p'\colon\ \mathfrak{g}^M \to \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$ by $p'(v) = [\sqrt{-1}v]$, where $[\sqrt{-1}v] \in \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{g}^M$. Then, the square of the right side of the diagram becomes commutative. So we have that $p(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \ker\overline{J}$. Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{h} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "p|_{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{g}^M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p'"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \ker\overline{J} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\overline{J}"'] & \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 5.13. *The map* $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}\colon\ \mathfrak{h} \to \ker\overline{J}$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By the snake lemma, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(p|_{\mathfrak{h}}) \to \ker p \to \ker p' \to \operatorname{coker}(p|_{\mathfrak{h}}) \to \operatorname{coker} p = 0$$

because p is surjective. In order to show that $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that the map of middle $\ker p \to \ker p'$ is an isomorphism. By definition of p and p', $\ker p =$

$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\ker p' = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^M$. By the decompositions (4.3), we have that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_x \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^M = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_x$. Since the quotient map $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^M$ kills only the factor of $\mathfrak{h}$, its restriction $\ker p \to \ker p'$ is an isomorphism. The lemma is proved. □

Corollary 5.14. *Let $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ be the quotient map. Then, the collection $q(\Delta) := \{q(C_I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$ of cones is a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$.*

Proof. By the group homomorphism theorem, the surjective map $\overline{J}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$ descends to the isomorphism $\overline{\overline{J}}\colon \mathfrak{g}/\ker\overline{J} \to \mathfrak{g}^M/\mathfrak{g}$. Since $p(\mathfrak{h}) = \ker\overline{J}$ by Lemma 5.13, the vector space $\mathfrak{g}/\ker\overline{J}$ is exactly $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. By the fact that the quotient map $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ coincides with the composition $\left(\overline{\overline{J}}\right)^{-1} \circ \overline{J}$ and by Lemma 5.10, we have that $q(\Delta)$ is a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$, as required. □

6. TRANSITION FUNCTIONS AND PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

Let M be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension n equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G of real dimension m, which preserves the complex structure on M. For such a manifold, we defined a G^M-invariant open dense submanifold

$$N = \bigcup_{G\cdot x} N(G\cdot x)$$

of M in Section 5. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_k$ be the characteristic submanifolds of N. Since each minimal orbit $G\cdot x$ meets exactly $2n-m$ characteristic submanifold N_i for $i \in I$, $|I| = 2n-m$ and $N_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} N_i$ is connected by Lemma 5.7, $G\cdot x = N_I$ for some $I \in \Sigma$, $|I| = 2n-m$. Conversely, N_I for $I \in \Sigma$, $|I| = 2n-m$ is a minimal orbit in N. For each subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we set

$$U_I := \begin{cases} N(G\cdot x) & \text{if } N_I = G\cdot x, \text{ that is, } |I| = 2n-m, \\ \displaystyle\bigcap_{I \subset I' \in \Sigma, |I'| = 2n-m} U_{I'} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By definition of U_I's, $U_I \subseteq U_J$ if and only if $I \subseteq J$.

By Lemma 4.9, there exists a G^M-equivariantly biholomorphism

$$\psi\colon\ G^M \times_{(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n-m} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j} \to N(G\cdot x),$$

where each $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j}$ is a 1-dimensional representation of $(G_x)^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let G_I be the subtorus of G generated by G_i, $i \in I$. Let λ_i be as (5.1). By Lemma 5.3, $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_I)$. Let $(\alpha_i^I)_{i\in I}$ be the dual basis of $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$. By definition of λ_i, each α_j should be one of α_i^I, $i \in I$. So we may replace the domain of definition and the range of ψ by

$$G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to U_I$$

if $|I| = 2n-m$. Let q be a point in the free G^M-orbit on N. By scaling ψ with an element of G^M, we may assume that

$$\psi^{-1}(q) = [1,(1)_{i\in I}],$$

where $(1)_{i\in I}$ denotes the point in $\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ whose all coordinates are 1.

Now we fix the point q sitting in the free G^M-orbit on N. For each $I \in \Sigma$ such that $|I| = 2n-m$, we have a G^M-equivariant biholomorphism

$$\psi_I\colon\ G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to U_I$$

such that $\psi([1,(1)_{i\in I}]) = q$. For arbitrary $J \in \Sigma$, we want to construct such a G^M-equivariant biholmorphism ψ_J whose range is U_J. We begin from the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $I \in \Sigma$, $|I| = 2n-m$. Let $I' \subset I$. Then, the preimage of $U_{I'}$ by ψ_I is*

$$\{[g,(z_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \textit{ for } i \notin I'\}.$$

Proof. By definition of $U_{I'}$ and $N^0_{I'}$, $N^0_{I'} \cap U_{I'} \neq \emptyset$. Let p be a point in $N^0_{I'} \cap U_{I'}$ and let $\psi_I^{-1}(p)$ denote

$$[g',(z'_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}.$$

Since $p \in N^0_{I'} \cap U_{I'}$, $z'_i = 0$ for $i \in I'$. Since $U_{I'}$ is a G^M-invariant open subset of U_I, we have that

$$\psi_I^{-1}(U_{I'}) \supseteq \{[g,(z_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I'\}.$$

Conversely, for $I \in \Sigma$ with $|I| = 2n-m$, $N_J \cap U_I \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $J \subseteq I$. Since $\overline{J}(\Delta)$ is complete by Lemma 5.10, Σ is a sphere triangulation such that the link of each simplex is also a sphere triangulation. Since $\mathrm{link}_\Sigma I'$ is a sphere triangulation, there is no vertex $\{j\}$ in $\mathrm{link}_\Sigma I'$ such that $\{j\}$ intersects all simplices in $\mathrm{link}_\Sigma I'$. Therefore, the intersection

$$\bigcap_{I\in\Sigma, |I|=2n-m, I'\subset I} I$$

is exactly I'. By definition of U'_I and the fact that $N_J \cap U_I \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $J \subseteq I$ for $I \in \Sigma$ with $|I| = 2n-m$, we have that $U_{I'} \cap N_J \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $J \subseteq I'$. This means that

$$U_I \setminus \bigcup_{i\notin I'} N_i \supseteq U_{I'}. \tag{6.1}$$

Applying ψ_I^{-1} to (6.1), we have the opposite inclusion

$$\psi_I^{-1}(U_{I'}) \subseteq \{[g,(z_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I'\},$$

proving the lemma. □

For arbitrary $I' \in \Sigma$, we also denote by $G_{I'}$ the subtorus of G generated by G_i for $i \in I'$. Then, $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I'}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_{I'})$ by Lemma 5.3. We also denote by $(\alpha_i^{I'})$ the dual basis of $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I'}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $I \in \Sigma$, $|I| = 2n - m$. Let $I' \subset I$. The open subset*

$$\left\{ [g, (z_i)_{i \in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \textit{ for } i \notin I' \right\}$$

of $G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to

$$G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}.$$

Proof. Define a map

$$\Theta_{I',I} \colon \left\{ (g, (z_i)_{i \in I}) \in G^M \times \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I' \right\} \to G^M \times \bigoplus_{i \in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}$$

by

$$\Theta_{I',I}(g, (z_i)_{i \in I}) := \left(g \prod_{i \in I \setminus I'} \lambda_i(z_i), (z_i)_{i \in I'} \right).$$

The map $\Theta_{I',I}$ descends to a G^M-equivariant holomorphic map

$$\theta_{I',I} \colon \left\{ [g, (z_i)_{i \in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I' \right\} \to G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}$$

defined by $\theta_{I',I}([g, (z_i)_{i \in I}]) = [g \prod_{i \in I \setminus I'} \lambda_i(z_i), (z_i)_{i \in I'}]$. $\theta_{I',I}$ is well-defined because $[g, (z_i)_{i \in I}] = [g \prod_{i \in I \setminus I'} \lambda_i(z_i), (w_i)_{i \in I}]$, where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } i \in I', \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define another map

$$\Xi_{I',I} \colon G^M \times \bigoplus_{i \in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}} \to \left\{ (g, (z_i)_{i \in I}) \in G^M \times \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I' \right\}$$

by $\Xi_{i',I}(g, (z_i)_{i \in I'}) := (g, (w_i)_{i \in I})$, where w_i's are as above. We claim that the map $\Xi_{I',I}$ also descends to a G^M-equivariant holomorphic map

$$\xi_{I',I} \colon G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}} \to \left\{ [g, (z_i)_{i \in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \notin I' \right\}$$

defined by $\xi_{I',I}([g,(z_i)_{i\in I'}]) = [g,(w_i)_{i\in I}]$. To see the well-definedness, take any element $h \in (G'_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I'}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_{I'}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_I)$ and α_i^I be the dual basis of $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$,

$$\alpha_i^I(h) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i^{I'}(h) & \text{if } i \in I', \\ 1 & \text{if } i \in I \setminus I'. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by direct computation,

$$\Xi_{I',I}(gh, (\alpha_i^{I'}(h)^{-1} z_i)_{i\in I'}) = (gh, \alpha_i^I(h)^{-1} w_i)_{i\in I}.$$

So $\xi_{I',I}$ is well-defined.

It follows from direct computation that both compositions $\theta_{I',I} \circ \xi_{I',I}$ and $\xi_{I',I} \circ \theta_{I',I}$ are the identities. Therefore $\theta_{I',I}$ is a G^M-equivariant biholomorphic map, as required. □

Let $I' \subset I \in \Sigma$ such that $|I| = 2n - m$. By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, the composition

$$\psi_{I'}^{-1} := \theta_{I',I} \circ \psi_I \colon U_{I'} \to G^M \times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I'} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}$$

is a G^M-equivariant biholomorphism such that $\psi_{I'}^{-1}(q) = [1,(1)_{i\in I'}]$, where q is the point in the free G^M-orbit which we fixed. $\psi_{I'}^{-1}$ does not depend on the choice of $I \in \Sigma$ with $|I| = 2n - m$; because $\psi_{I'}^{-1}(q)$ determines the values of all points in the free G^M-orbit and the free G^M-orbit is open dense in N.

For every $I \in \Sigma$, now we have a G^M-equivariant biholomorphism

$$\psi_I^{-1} \colon U_I \to G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

such that $\psi_I^{-1}(q) = (1,(1)_{i\in I})$. If $J \subseteq I \in \Sigma$, then $U_J \subseteq U_I$ and the composition

$$\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J \colon G^M \times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J} \to G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

is a G^M-equivariant open inclusion. We represent this explicitly for later use.

Lemma 6.3.

$$\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J([g,(z_i)_{i\in J}]) = [g,(w_i)_{i\in I}],$$

where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } i \in J, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we check the well-definedness of the mapping. Let $h \in (G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, as well as the proof of Lemma 6.2,

$$[gh, (\alpha_i^I(h)^{-1} w_i)_{i\in I}] = [gh, (w'_i)_{i\in I}],$$

where

$$w_i' = \begin{cases} \alpha_i^J(h)^{-1}z_i & \text{if } i \in I, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the mapping is well-defined.

Clearly, the mapping is G^M-equivariant. Since $\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J([1,(1)_{i\in J}]) = [1,(1)_{i\in I}]$ and a G^M-equivariant map $G^M \times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J} \to G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ such that $[1,(1)_{i\in J}] \mapsto [1,(1)_{i\in I}]$ is unique because $G^M \cdot [1,(1)_{i\in J}]$ is dense, $\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J$ is of the form as in Lemma 6.3, proving the lemma. □

Now we can reconstruct N from $G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ for all $I \in \Sigma$. We consider the quotient space

$$\bigsqcup_{I\in I} \left(G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \right) / \sim \tag{6.2}$$

of the disjoint union of $G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ for all $I \in \Sigma$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the following:

For $J \subseteq I$ and $[g,(z_i)_{\in J}] \in G^M \times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J}$,

$$[g,(z_i)_{i\in J}] \sim [g,(w_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I},$$

where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } i \in J, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.3 that the quotient space $\bigsqcup_{I\in I} \left(G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \right) / \sim$ is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to N.

Thanks to this gluing reconstruction of N, we can construct a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant principal $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$-bundle over N whose total space is a toric variety. Since $G^M = G^{\mathbb{C}}/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ by definition, we have a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant principal $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$-bundle

$$G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

for all $I \in \Sigma$.

Lemma 6.4. *The total space is an affine toric variety corresponding to the cone $C_I \in \Delta$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}_I$ denote the Lie algebra of G_I and let $\mathfrak{k}_I$ be the annihilator of $\mathfrak{g}_I$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{k}_I = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_I\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}_I$ is spanned by $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_I)$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G)$, we can take a basis $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}$ of $\mathfrak{k}_I$ so that each β_j sits in $\mathrm{Hom}(G, S^1) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. For each $\alpha_i^I \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_I, S^1)$, we take $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, S^1)$ to be $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I|_{G_I} = \alpha_i^I$.

The affine toric variety corresponding to C_I is equivariantly biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-|I|} \times \mathbb{C}^I$ equipped with the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by

$$g \cdot (u_1, \dots, u_{m-|I|}, (v_i)_{i\in I}) := (\beta_1(g)u_1, \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}(g)u_{m-|I|}, (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)v_i)_{i\in I}),$$

where $\mathbb{C}^I$ denotes the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{|I|}$ indexed by I.

The homomorphisms $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}, \widetilde{\alpha}_i^I$ for $i \in I$ form a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(G, S^1)$. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-|I|}, \lambda_i$ for $i \in I$ be the dual basis of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}, \widetilde{\alpha}_i^I$ for $i \in I$. Define a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant holomorphic map

$$\widetilde{\theta}_I \colon (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-|I|} \times \mathbb{C}^I \to G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

by

$$\widetilde{\theta}_I(u_1, \dots, u_{m-|I|}, (v_i)_{i\in I}) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(u_j), (v_i)_{i\in I}\right].$$

We also define a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant holomorphic map

$$\widetilde{\psi}_I \colon G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-|I|} \times \mathbb{C}^I$$

by

$$\widetilde{\psi}_I\left([g, (z_i)_{i\in I}]\right) = \left(\beta_1(g), \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}(g), (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i)_{i\in I}\right).$$

First, we shall see that $\widetilde{\psi}_I$ is well-defined. Let $h \in (G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then,

$$(\beta_1(gh), \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}(gh), (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(gh)\alpha_i^I(h)^{-1}z_i)_{i\in I}) = (\beta_1(g), \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}(g), (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i)_{i\in I}),$$

because $\beta_j \in \mathfrak{k}_I$ implies that $\beta_j(h) = 1$ for each j and $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I|_{G_I} = \alpha_i^I$ by definition of $\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I$. Therefore $\widetilde{\psi}_I$ is well-defined.

By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\psi}_I \circ \widetilde{\theta}_I(u_1, \dots, u_{m-|I|}, (v_i)_{i\in I}) \\
&= \widetilde{\psi}_I\left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(u_j), (v_i)_{i\in I}\right]\right) \\
&= \left(\beta_1\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(u_j)\right), \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(u_j)\right), \left(\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(u_j)\right) v_i\right)_{i\in I}\right) \\
&= (u_1, \dots, u_{m-|I|}, (v_i)_{i\in I}),
\end{aligned}$$

because $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-|I|}, \lambda_i$ are the dual of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}, \widetilde{\alpha}_i^I$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\theta}_I \circ \widetilde{\psi}_I\left([g, (z_i)_{i\in I}]\right) &= \widetilde{\theta}_I\left(\beta_1(g), \dots, \beta_{m-|I|}(g), (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i)_{i\in I}\right) \\
&= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|} \mu_j(\beta_j(g)), (\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i)_{i\in I}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|}\mu_j(\beta_j(g))\right)\left(\prod_{i\in I}\lambda_i(\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g))\right)=g \quad\text{and}\quad \prod_{i\in I}\lambda_i(\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g))\in(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\prod_{j=1}^{m-|I|}\mu_j(\beta_j(g)),(\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i)_{i\in I}\right]\\ &=\left[g,\left(\alpha_i^I\left(\prod_{j\in I}\lambda_j(\widetilde{\alpha}_j^I(g))\right)^{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i\right)_{i\in I}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\alpha_i^I)_{i\in I}$ is the dual of $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\theta}_I\circ\widetilde{\psi}_I\left([g,(z_i)_{i\in I}]\right)=\left[g,\left(\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)^{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}_i^I(g)z_i\right)_{i\in I}\right]=[g,(z_i)_{i\in I}].$$

These computations show that both θ_I and ψ_I are biholomorphism. The lemma is proved. □

If a cone $C_J\in\Delta$ is a face of a cone $C_I\in\Delta$, the inclusion $C_J\subset C_I$ induces an equivariant open embedding of the affine toric variety corresponding to C_J into the affine toric variety corresponding to C_I. By Lemma 6.4, both toric varieties are biholomorphic to

$$G^{\mathbb{C}}\times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j\in J}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j^J}\quad\text{and}\quad G^{\mathbb{C}}\times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}.$$

Lemma 6.5. *The open embedding*

$$\widetilde{\psi}_I^{-1}\circ\widetilde{\psi}_J\colon\ G^{\mathbb{C}}\times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j\in J}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j^J}\to G^{\mathbb{C}}\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

is given by

$$\widetilde{\psi}_I^{-1}\circ\widetilde{\psi}_J([g,(z_j)_{j\in J}])=[g,(w_j)_{j\in I}],$$

where

$$w_i=\begin{cases}z_i & \text{if } i\in J,\\ 1 & \text{otherwise}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. An almost same argument as the proof of Lemma 6.3 works. We omit the detail. □

By the construction of toric variety associated with Δ and by Lemma 6.5, we have a toric variety as a quotient space

$$X(\Delta):=\bigsqcup_{I\in\Sigma}G^{\mathbb{C}}\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}/\approx, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\approx$ is the equivalence relation generated by the following:

For $J \subseteq I$ and $[g,(z_i)_{\in J}] \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J}$,

$$[g,(z_i)_{i\in J}] \approx [g,(w_i)_{i\in I}] \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I},$$

where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } i \in J, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.6. *There exists a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant principal $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$-bundle*

$$X(\Delta) \to N,$$

where $X(\Delta)$ denotes the toric variety associated with Δ.

Proof. As we saw in this section, N is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient space as (6.2). For each $I \in \Sigma$, the quotient map $G^{\mathbb{C}} \to G^M$ induces a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant principal $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$-bundle

$$G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}.$$

Since the gluing maps $\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J$ on N and $\widetilde{\psi}_I^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}_J$ on $X(\Delta)$ commute with the projections, that is, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\psi}_I^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}_J"] \arrow[d] & G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \arrow[d] \\
G^M \times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J} \arrow[r, "\psi_I^{-1} \circ \psi_J"] & G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative by Lemmas 6.3 and 6.5, the quotient map $G^{\mathbb{C}} \to G^M$ induces the $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant principal $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$-bundle

$$X(\Delta) = \bigsqcup_{I\in\Sigma} G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} / \approx \to \bigsqcup_{I\in\Sigma} G^M \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} / \sim \cong N,$$

proving the lemma. □

Corollary 6.7. *N is G^M-equivariantly biholomorphic to $X(\Delta)/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Lemma 6.6 immediately. □

7. QUOTIENTS OF NONSINGULAR TORIC VARIETIES

Let M be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension n, equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G of dimension m which preserves the complex structure on M. So far, we defined a G^M-invariant open submanifold N and assigned a nonsingular fan Δ to N in Section 5. The fan Δ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the global stabilizers

$Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ of the complexified action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on M were characterized by Lemma 5.13 and Corollary 5.14. We saw that N is G^M equivariantly biholomorphic to $X(\Delta)/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ in Corollary 6.7.

In this section, we give an inverse correspondence, that is, for a pair $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h})$ of a nonsingular fan in $\mathfrak{g}$ and Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which satisfies certain conditions, we construct a compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus which preserves the complex structure of the manifold.

As before, we denote by G a compact torus of dimension m, by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G, by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$, and by $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex Lie group $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}/\operatorname{Hom}(S^1, G)$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a complex subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the projection. Suppose that $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective. Then, the image $\exp(\mathfrak{h})$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ by the exponential map $\exp\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is closed in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Let $p'\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \to \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ be the 2nd projection. We see the injectivity of $p'|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ first. Let $u + \sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $p'(u + \sqrt{-1}v) = 0$, where we represent the element $u + \sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{h}$ with $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $p'(u + \sqrt{-1}v) = 0$, $v = 0$ and hence $u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex subspace, $\sqrt{-1}u$ is also an element in $\mathfrak{h}$. Applying the first projection p, we have $u = 0$ because $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective. Therefore, $u + \sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{h}$ should be 0. So $p'|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective.

Thus, there exists an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism

$$s\colon\ p'(\mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{h}$$

such that the composition $p' \circ s$ is the identity. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{p \circ s(\sqrt{-1}v) + \sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \mid \sqrt{-1}v \in p'(\mathfrak{h})\}.$$

By definition of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with $G \times \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$. Under this identification,

$$\exp(\mathfrak{h}) = \{(g, \sqrt{-1}v) \in G \times \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g} \mid g = \exp(p \circ s(\sqrt{-1}v)), \sqrt{-1}v \in p'(\mathfrak{h})\}.$$

Since $p'(\mathfrak{h})$ is closed in $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ and hence in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, and the mapping $(g, \sqrt{-1}v) \mapsto g \cdot \exp(p \circ s(\sqrt{-1}v))^{-1}$ is continuous, $\exp(\mathfrak{h})$ is closed in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, as required. □

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ and $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be as Lemma 7.1. Assume that $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective. By Lemma 7.1, the image of $\mathfrak{h}$ by the exponential map is closed. We denote it by H. H is a complex connected Lie subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let Δ be a nonsingular fan in $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \operatorname{Hom}(S^1, G)$ be the primitive elements such that each λ_i generates a 1-dimensional cones in Δ. Define an abstract simplicial complex

$$\Sigma := \{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\} \mid C_I = \operatorname{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I) \in \Delta\}.$$

For each $I \in \Sigma$, we set the subspace

$$\mathfrak{g}_I := \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i \lambda_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is generated by λ_i for $i \in I$. Let G_I be the subtorus of G whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_I$. Since Δ is nonsingular, λ_i for $i \in I$ form a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G_I)$. Let $\alpha_i^I \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_I, S^1)$ denote the dual basis of λ_i for $i \in I$. Then, by Lemma 6.4, the affine toric variety associated with C_I is $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariantly biholomorphic to

$$G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ be the quotient map. Let $I \in \Sigma$. Suppose that $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i \in I$ are linearly independent. Then, the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ restricted to H on the affine toric variety associated with the cone C_I is free.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $H \cap (G_I)^{\mathbb{C}} = \{1\}$ by Lemma 6.4. Since H and $(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is connected and abelian, the exponential maps are surjective. Let $u + \sqrt{-1}v \in \mathfrak{h}$, where $u \in p(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\sqrt{-1}v \in p'(\mathfrak{h})$. By definition of $(\mathfrak{g}_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$, any element in $(\mathfrak{g}_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the form

$$\sum_{i \in I} (a_i\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i\lambda_i)$$

for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that

$$\exp(u + \sqrt{-1}v) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \in I} (a_i\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i\lambda_i)\right).$$

Then,

$$u - \sum_{i \in I} a_i\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}\left(v - \sum_{i \in I} b_i\lambda i\right) \in \mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G).$$

Therefore,

$$v = \sum_{i \in I} b_i\lambda i.$$

Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $v - \sqrt{-1}u$ is also an element in $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i \in I} b_i\lambda_i \in p(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{7.1}$$

Applying q to (7.1) and by the assumption that $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i \in I$ are linearly independent, we have that $b_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Therefore $v = 0$. So $u \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\sqrt{-1}u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective, $u = 0$. Therefore $\exp(\sum_{i \in I} a_i\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i\lambda_i) \in H$ implies that $\exp(\sum_{i \in I} a_i\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i\lambda_i) = 1$. This shows that $H \cap (G_I)^{\mathbb{C}} = \{1\}$, proving the lemma. $\square$

Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 tell us that the quotient of the affine toric variety associated with C_I by H is biholomorphic to a complex manifold

$$(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H) \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

if $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i \in I$ are linearly independent. Now we consider the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ of the nonsingular toric variety associated with Δ by H. In order to deduce the Hausdorffness and the compactness of $X(\Delta)/H$ from conditions on $q(\Delta)$, we prepare a locally defined continuous function.

Lemma 7.3. *Let* $\xi \in (\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}))^*$ *such that* $\langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle \geq 0$ *for all* $i \in I$. *The function* $f^I_\xi \colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^I_i} \to [0,\infty)$ *defined by*

$$f^I_\xi(u+\sqrt{-1}v,(z_i)_{i\in I}) := e^{-2\pi\langle \xi, q(v)\rangle} \prod_{i\in I} |z_i|^{\langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle}$$

descends to a continuous function $\overline{f^I_\xi} \colon (G^{\mathbb{C}}/H) \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha^I_i} \to [0,\infty)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the well-definedness of $\overline{f^I_\xi}$ because the continuity of f^I_ξ and $\overline{f^I_\xi}$ are obvious.

Clearly, f^I_ξ is invariant under the translation by elements in $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, it is invariant under the translation by elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G)$. Let $u'+\sqrt{-1}v' \in \mathfrak{h}$, where $u',v' \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, $v' \in p(\mathfrak{h})$ because $v'-\sqrt{-1}u' \in \mathfrak{h}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f^I_\xi(u+u'+\sqrt{-1}(v+v'),(z_i)_{i\in I}) &= e^{-2\pi\langle \xi, q(v+v')\rangle} \prod_{i\in I} |z_i|^{\langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle} \\ &= e^{-2\pi\langle \xi, q(v)\rangle} \prod_{i\in I} |z_i|^{\langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle} \\ &= f^I_\xi(u+\sqrt{-1}v,(z_i)_{i\in I}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u''+\sqrt{-1}v'' \in (\mathfrak{g}_I)^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $u'',v'' \in \mathfrak{g}_I$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &f^I_\xi(u+u''+\sqrt{-1}(v+v''),(\alpha^I_i(\exp(u''+\sqrt{-1}v''))^{-1}z_i)_{i\in I}) \\ &= e^{-2\pi\langle \xi, q(v+v'')\rangle} \prod_{i\in I} |e^{2\pi\langle \alpha^I_i, v''\rangle} z_i|^{\langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle} \\ &= e^{2\pi\langle \xi, -q(v'')+\sum_{i\in I}\langle \alpha^I_i, v''\rangle q(\lambda_i)\rangle} f^I_i(u+\sqrt{-1}v,(z_i)_{i\in I}). \end{aligned}$$

Since λ_i for $i \in I$ form a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G_I) \subset \mathfrak{g}_I$ and α^I_i for $i \in I$ are the dual basis, $v'' = \sum_{i\in I}\langle \alpha^I_i, v''\rangle \lambda_i$. So the exponent $2\pi\langle \xi, -q(v'')+\sum_{i\in I}\langle \alpha^I_i, v''\rangle q(\lambda_i)\rangle = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &f^I_\xi(u+u''+\sqrt{-1}(v+v''),(\alpha^I_i(\exp(u''+\sqrt{-1}v''))^{-1}z_i)_{i\in I}) \\ &= f^I_i(u+\sqrt{-1}v,(z_i)_{i\in I}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f^I_ξ descends to $\overline{f^I_\xi}$, as required. □

For $\xi \in (\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}))^*$, we define a subfan Δ^+_ξ of Δ to be

$$\Delta^+_\xi := \{C_I = \mathrm{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I) \in \Delta \mid \langle \xi, q(\lambda_i)\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

We also define Δ_ξ^- to be $\Delta_{-\xi}^+$. Then, the union Δ_ξ of these subfans Δ_ξ^+ and Δ_ξ^-, and the intersection Δ_ξ^0 of Δ_ξ^+ and Δ_ξ^- are also subfans of Δ.

The toric variety $X(\Delta)$ associated with the fan Δ is $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient space as (6.3). Hence the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ is

$$X(\Delta)/H = \bigsqcup_{I\in\Sigma}(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G^I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}/\sim,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the following:

For $J\subseteq I$ and $[g,(z_i)_{i\in J}]\in(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in J}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^J}$,

$$[g,(z_i)_{i\in J}]\sim[g,(w_i)_{i\in I}]\in(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I},$$

where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } i\in J,\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The subfans Δ_ξ^+, Δ_ξ^-, Δ_ξ and Δ_ξ^0 determine open subsets $X(\Delta_\xi^+)/H$, $X(\Delta_\xi^-)/H$, $X(\Delta_\xi)/H$ and $X(\Delta_\xi^0)/H$ of $X(\Delta)/H$, respectively.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\overline{f_\xi^I}$ be the function as in Lemma 7.3 for $I\in\Sigma$ such that $C_I\in\Delta_\xi^+$.*

(1) *For $I'\subseteq I$ and $[g,(z_i)_{i\in I'}]\in(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_{I'})^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I'}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}$,*

$$\overline{f_\xi^{I'}}([g,(z_i)_{i\in I'}]) = \overline{f_\xi^I}([g,(w_i)_{i\in I}]).$$

Therefore, there exists a continuous function $\overline{f_\xi}\colon X(\Delta_\xi^+)/H\to[0,\infty)$ such that

$$\overline{f_\xi}|_{(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}} = \overline{f_\xi^I}$$

for all I such that $C_I\in\Delta_\xi^+$.

(2) *$\overline{f_\xi}$ is nowhere zero on $X(\Delta_\xi^0)/H$ and*

$$(\overline{f_\xi})^{-1} = \overline{f_{-\xi}}$$

on $X(\Delta_\xi^0)/H$.

(3) *There exists a continuous function $\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}\colon X(\Delta_\xi)/H\to[0,\infty]$ such that*

$$\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}|_{X(\Delta_\xi^+)/H} = \overline{f_\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}|_{X(\Delta_\xi^-)/H} = (\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1},$$

here we may regard 0^{-1} as ∞ and the topology of $[0,\infty]$ is the order topology.

Proof. Part (1) and Part (2) follows from the definition of $\overline{f_\xi^I}$ and of the equivalence relation $\sim$. Part (3) follows from Part (2). □

Now we are ready to deduce the Hausdorff-ness of $X(\Delta)/H$ from the condition which is $q(\Delta)$ being a fan.

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose that $q(\Delta)=\{q(C_I)\mid I\in\Sigma\}$ is a fan and $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i\in I$ is linearly independen for each $I\in\Sigma$. Then, the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof of Lemma 7.5. Let $x,y\in X(\Delta)/H$ such that $x\neq y$. If x and y belong to the same open subset

$$(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I},$$

then there exists open subsets U_x and U_y such that $U_x\ni x$, $U_y\ni y$ and $U_x\cap U_y=\emptyset$.

Now we assume that x and y do not belong to the same open subset $(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$. Suppose that I is a simplex in Σ such that

$$x\in(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$$

but

$$x\notin(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G'_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I'}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^{I'}}\quad\text{for all } I'\subsetneq I.$$

Similarly, suppose that J is a simplex in Σ such that

$$y\in(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j\in J}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j^J}$$

but

$$y\notin(G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G'_J)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{j\in J'}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_j^{J'}}\quad\text{for all } J'\subsetneq J.$$

Since $q(\Delta)$ is a fan, there exists an element $\xi\in(\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}))^*$ such that $\langle\xi,q(\lambda_i)\rangle>0$ for all $i\in I\setminus J$, $\langle\xi,q(\lambda_j)\rangle<0$ for all $j\in J\setminus I$ and $\langle\xi,q(\lambda_i)\rangle=0$ for $i\in I\cap J$. Then, $C_I\in\Delta_\xi^+$ and $C_J\in\Delta_\xi^-$. Let $\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}$ be the continuous function as Lemma 7.4. By the choice of ξ, we have that

$$\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}(x)=0\quad\text{and}\quad\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}(y)=\infty.$$

Since the interval $[0,\infty]$ is Hausdorff, and x and y are distinguished by the continuous function $\overline{f_\xi}\cup(\overline{f_{-\xi}})^{-1}$, there exist open subsets U_x and U_y such that $U_x\ni x$, $U_y\ni y$ and $U_x\cap U_y=\emptyset$. Therefore, $X(\Delta)/H$ is Hausdorff, as required. □

By Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 and 7.5, if the nonsingular fan Δ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and the complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the following conditions

(1) the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the projection $p\colon\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}\to\mathfrak{g}$ is injective,
(2) the quotient map $q\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ sends[2] a fan Δ to a fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$,

---

[2] Here, “sends” means that q gives a bijection between cones in Δ and cones in $q(\Delta)$.

then, the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ of the toric variety $X(\Delta)$ associated with Δ by $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ is a complex manifold.

As well as toric varieties, we will deduce the compactness of $X(\Delta)/H$ from the completeness of $q(\Delta)$. $X(\Delta)/H$ is compact if and only if $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$ is compact because G is a compact torus. So we will show the compactness of $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let the nonsingular fan Δ and the complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfy conditions (1) and (2) above. Let $C_I = \operatorname{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I)$ be a cone in Δ such that $\dim C_I = \dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. Let $\overline{\alpha_i^I}$ for $i \in I$ be the dual basis of $q(\lambda_i)$. Then, the continuous map*

$$(\overline{f^I_{\alpha^I_j}})_{j\in I}\colon (G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} \to \prod_{j\in I}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})_j$$

descends to a homeomorphism

$$F_I\colon \left((G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G \to \prod_{i\in I}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})_i.$$

Proof. For any $\xi \in (\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}))^*$, by definition of $\overline{f^I_\xi}$, the function $\overline{f^I_\xi}$ is G-invariant and hence F_I is well-defined. Since $\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$ and $(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}} \cap H = \{1\}$ (see the proof of Lemma 7.2), $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be decomposed into $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ because $\dim C_I = \dim\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. Therefore $G^{\mathbb{C}}/H$ can be identified with $G\times\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I$ through the exponential map. Using this identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{f^I_{\alpha^I_j}}([(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}]) &= e^{-2\pi\langle\overline{\alpha^I_j},q(v)\rangle}\prod_{i\in I}|z_i|^{\langle\overline{\alpha^I_j},q(\lambda_i)\rangle}\\ &= e^{-2\pi\langle\overline{\alpha^I_j},q(v)\rangle}|z_j|\end{aligned}$$

for $[(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}] \in (G\times\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$. Define

$$E_I\colon \prod_{j\in I}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})_j \to \left((G\times\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G$$

to be

$$E_I((r_j)_{j\in I}) := [(1,0),(r_j)_{j\in I}].$$

Clearly, E_I is continuous and the composition $F_I\circ E_I$ is identity. It remains to show that $E_I\circ F_I$ is identity. By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}E_I\circ F_I([[(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}]]) &= E_I((e^{-2\pi\langle\overline{\alpha^I_j},q(v)\rangle}|z_j|)_{j\in I})\\ &= [[(1,0),(e^{-2\pi\langle\overline{\alpha^I_j},q(v)\rangle}|z_j|)_{j\in I})]],\end{aligned}$$

where $[[(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}]]\in\left((G\times\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G$ denotes the equivalence class of $[(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}]\in(G\times\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_I)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$. Since

$$[[(g,\sqrt{-1}v),(z_i)_{i\in I}]=[[(1,0),(e^{-2\pi\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle}|z_i|)_{i\in I}]],$$

it suffices to show that $\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle=\langle\overline{\alpha_i^I},q(v)\rangle$ for $v\in\mathfrak{g}_I$. Since λ_i for $i\in I$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_I$, $v=\sum_{i\in I}\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle\lambda_i$. Applying q, we have that $q(v)=\sum_{i\in I}\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle q(\lambda_i)$. Since $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i\in I$ are a basis of $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\overline{\alpha_i^I}$ are its dual, $q(v)=\sum_{i\in I}\langle\overline{\alpha_i^I},q(v)\rangle q(\lambda_i)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i\in I}(\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle-\langle\overline{\alpha_i^I},q(v)\rangle)q(\lambda_i)=0.$$

Since $q(\lambda_i)$ for $i\in I$ are linearly independent, $\langle\alpha_i^I,v\rangle-\langle\overline{\alpha_i^I},q(v)\rangle=0$. Hence the composition $E_I\circ F_I$ is the identity. Since F_I is continuous and its inverse E_I is also continuous, F_I is a homeomorphism, as required. □

Lemma 7.7. *Let Δ and $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfy conditions (1) and (2) above. Suppose that the fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ is complete. Then, the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ is compact.*

Proof. Since $X(\Delta)$ has the open dense orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to the empty set $\emptyset\in\Sigma$, $X(\Delta)/H$ also has the open dense orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}/H$. First, we identify the quotient $G^{\mathbb{C}}/G\cdot H$ of the open dense subset $G^{\mathbb{C}}/H$ by G with the vector space $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ as follows. To each $[u+\sqrt{-1}v]\in\mathfrak{g}\oplus\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}+\mathfrak{h}$ where $u,v\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $[u+\sqrt{-1}v]$ denotes the equivalence class, we assign $[v]\in\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ where $[v]$ denotes the equivalence class of $v\in\mathfrak{g}$. This is well-defined because if $u'+\sqrt{-1}v'\in\mathfrak{h}$ then $v'\in p(\mathfrak{h})$. Moreover, this is a bijective linear map. Since $G^{\mathbb{C}}/H=\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}+\mathrm{Hom}(S^1,G)$, the correspondence $[u+\sqrt{-1}v]\mapsto[v]$ is nothing but an isomorphism $\iota\colon G^{\mathbb{C}}/G\cdot H\to\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$.

Since q sends the fan Δ to a complete fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$, every cone $C_J\in\Delta$ is contained in a cone $C_I\in\Delta$ such that $\dim C_I=\dim\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. Hence the open subsets

$$\left((G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G\subset X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$$

for $I\in\Sigma$ such that $\dim C_I=\dim\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ cover the quotient space $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$. Remark that each open subset $\left((G^{\mathbb{C}}/H)\times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}}\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G$ contains $G^{\mathbb{C}}/G\cdot H$ as an open dense subset. Now we consider the preimage of the cone $q(C_I)$ by ι. Suppose that $\dim C_I=\dim\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ and let F_I be the homeomorphism as Lemma 7.6. Then,

$$F_I(\iota^{-1}(q(v)))=(e^{-2\pi\langle\overline{\alpha_i^I},q(v)\rangle})_{i\in I}.$$

Therefore,

$$F_I(\iota^{-1}(q(C_I)))=\left\{(r_i)_{i\in I}\in\prod_{i\in I}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})_i\mid 0<r_i\leq 1\right\}$$

because $\langle \overline{\alpha_i^I}, q(v)\rangle \geq 0$ for $q(v) \in q(C_I)$. The closure of right hand side is a cube. In particular, it is compact. Therefore, closure K_I of $\iota^{-1}(q(C_I))$ in $\left((G^{\mathbb{C}}/H) \times_{(G_I)^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}\right)/G$ is also compact and hence K_I coincides with the closure of $\iota^{-1}(q(C_I))$ in $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$.

Since $G^{\mathbb{C}}/G\cdot H$ is the union of $\iota^{-1}(q(C_I))$ such that $\dim C_I = \dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ because $q(\Delta)$ is complete, $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$ is the union of compact subsets K_I's. Since the number of cones in Δ is finite, $X(\Delta)/G\cdot H$ is a finite union of compact subsets and hence it is compact. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 7.8. *Let Δ be a nonsingular fan in $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a complex subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose that Δ and $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) *the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the projection $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is injective and*
(2) *the quotient map $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ sends a fan Δ to a complete fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$.*

Then, the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ of the toric variety associated with Δ by $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ is a compact complex manifold equipped with the action of G which is maximal and preserves the complex structure.

Proof. By Lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.5 and 7.7, $X(\Delta)/H$ is a compact complex manifold. It remains to show that the action of G on $X(\Delta)/H$ is maximal. Since $q(\Delta)$ is complete, there exists a cone $C_I \in \Delta$ such that $\dim C_I = \dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. Therefore there exists a point $\widetilde{x} \in X(\Delta)$ such that $\dim G_{\widetilde{x}} = \dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. Let x be the point in $X(\Delta)/H$ to which the quotient map $X(\Delta) \to X(\Delta)/H$ sends $\widetilde{x}$. Since $G \cap H = \{1\}$ by condition (1), $G_x = G_{\widetilde{x}}$. Therefore,

$$\dim G + \dim G_x = 2\dim G - \dim H = \dim X(\Delta)/H,$$

that is, the action of G on $X(\Delta)/H$ is maximal, proving the lemma. □

Let (M,G) (respectively, (M',G)) denote a compact connected complex manifold M (respectively, M') equipped with a maximal action of a compact torus G which preserves the complex structure on M (respectively, M'). We denote by $[M,G]$ the G-equivariant biholomorphism type of (M,G), that is, $[M,G] = [M',G]$ if and only if M is G-equivariantly biholomorphic to M'. Let $\mathscr{C}_1$ denote the set of all $[M,G]$ (where we do not fix the compact torus G).

Let $\mathscr{C}_2$ denote the set of all triples $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$, where

- G is a compact torus,
- Δ is a nonsingular fan in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G,
- $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that
  (1) the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the projection $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is injective, and
  (2) the quotient map $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ sends a fan Δ to a complete fan $q(\Delta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$.

To each (M,G), we assigned a pair $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h})$ of a nonsingular fan Δ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G and a complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) above (see Section 5). If M' is G-equivariantly biholomorphic to M, then the same $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h})$ is assigned to (M',G). Therefore, we have a map $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$ via $\mathscr{F}_1([M,G]) = (\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$. Conversely, by Lemma 7.8, we have a map $\mathscr{F}_2\colon \mathscr{C}_2 \to \mathscr{C}_1$ via $\mathscr{F}_2(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G) = [X(\Delta)/H,G]$. Now we are in a position to show the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 7.9. *The maps $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are bijections between $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$. They are inverses to each other.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G) \in \mathscr{C}_2$. We can take $(X(\Delta)/H,G)$ as a representative of $\mathscr{F}_2(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G) = [X(\Delta)/H,G]$. By definition of the pair assigned to $(X(\Delta)/H,G)$, it is nothing but $(\Delta,\mathfrak{h})$. Therefore $\mathscr{F}_1 \circ \mathscr{F}_2(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G) = (\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G)$.

Let $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ and let $(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G)$ denote the image $\mathscr{F}_1([M,G])$. Take a representative (M,G) of $[M,G]$ and fix it. Let N be the open submanifold of M defined as in Section 5.

Let $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ be the global stabilizers of the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on M. By Corollary 6.7, N is G-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient space $X(\Delta)/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of the global stabilizer $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ and $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is connected by Lemma 4.2, $Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}} = \exp(\mathfrak{h}) = H$. By Lemma 7.8, the quotient space $X(\Delta)/H$ is compact. Therefore, N is open and closed in M. Since M is connected, N is the whole manifold M and hence M is G-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient $X(\Delta)/H$. This shows that $[M,G] = [X(\Delta)/H,G]$. Therefore, $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1([M,G]) = [X(\Delta)/H,G] = [M,G]$. The theorem is proved. □

In the next section, we will define the sets of morphisms in $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ and think of $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ as the categories. We will see that $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are not only one-to-one correspondence but also category isomorphisms, with suitable definitions of mapping functions.

Remark 7.10. As we saw in the proof of Theorem 7.9, N coincides with the whole manifold M. So the characteristic submanifolds of N are closed submanifolds of M. Therefore we can call each of them a characteristic submanifold of M. As a result, the correspondence $\mathscr{F}_1$ can be defined without passing the open submanifold N.

8. MORPHISMS, CATEGORIES AND CATEGORY ISOMORPHISM

Let $\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{F}_2\colon \mathscr{C}_2 \to \mathscr{C}_1$ be as in Section 7. In this section, we define morphisms in both $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ and show that both $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ become categories. We also define mapping functions (we will use the same notations $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$) and show that the covariant functors $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are inverses to each other. In particular, we see that the category $\mathscr{C}_1$ is isomorphic to the category $\mathscr{C}_2$.

Let $[M_1,G_1]$ and $[M_2,G_2]$ be in $\mathscr{C}_1$. Let (M_i,G_i) and (M_i',G_i) be representatives of $[M_i,G_i]$ for $i = 1,2$. A holomorphic map $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is *α-equivariant* if the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_1 \times M_1 \arrow[r, "\alpha\times\psi"] \arrow[d] & G_2 \times M_2 \arrow[d] \\
M_1 \arrow[r, "\psi"'] & M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the action maps. Let $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ be an α-equivariant holomorphic map. Sometimes ψ is also β-equivariant for some group homomorphism $\beta\colon G_1 \to G_2$. However, if ψ sends a point $x_1 \in M_1$ such that $(G_1)_{x_1} = \{1\}$ to $x_2 \in M_2$ such that $(G_2)_{x_2} = \{1\}$ (i.e. ψ sends a free G_1-orbit in M_1 into a free G_2-orbit of M_2), then α is unique. To see this, suppose that ψ is β-equivariant. Then, $\psi(g_1x_1) = \alpha(g_1)x_2 = \beta(g_1)x_2$ for any $g_1 \in G_1$. But $(G_2)_{x_2} = \{1\}$ implies that $\alpha(g_1) = \beta(g_1)$ for any g_1.

From here, we require ψ to send a free G_1-orbit in M_1 into a free G_2-orbit. The advantage of this assumption is that we can assign a group homomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$ to such a holomorphic map ψ by the observation above. Let $\psi'\colon M_1' \to M_2'$ be an α'-equivariant holomorphic map, where α' is a group homomorphism $G_1 \to G_2$. We say that ψ' is *equivalent* to ψ if there exist an G_1-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_1\colon M_1 \to M_1'$ and G_2-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_2\colon M_2 \to M_2'$ such that the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_1 \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"] & M_2 \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"] \\
M_1' \arrow[r, "\psi'"] & M_2'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

If ψ is equivalent to $\psi' = \varphi_2 \circ \psi \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$, then ψ' should be α-equivariant. Therefore we can assign a group homomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$ to the equivalent class $[\psi]$ of $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$.

We define a hom-set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2])$ for $[M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ to be the set of all equivalent classes of α-equivariant holomorphic maps $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ for some α such that ψ sends a free G_1-orbit into a free G_2-orbit.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $[M_i,G_i] \in \mathscr{C}_1$, $i = 1,2,3$. Let $[\psi_1] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2])$ and let $[\psi_2] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_2,G_2],[M_3,G_3])$. Then, the composition defined to be $[\psi_2]\circ[\psi_1] := [\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \psi_1]$ is well-defined, where $\psi_1\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is a representative of $[\psi_1]$, $\psi_2\colon M_2' \to M_3$ is a representative of $[\psi_2]$, and $\varphi_2\colon M_2 \to M_2'$ be an equivariant biholomorphism.*

By definition of the composition as in Lemma 8.1, it satisfies the associative low. Moreover, for each $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ there exists the identity morphism which is the equivalent class $[\mathrm{id}_M]$ of the identity map of M. Therefore $\mathscr{C}_1$ with the hom-sets $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}([M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2])$ for all $[M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2] \in \mathscr{C}_2$ forms a category.

In order to show Lemma 8.1, we prepare the following Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ and let (M,G) and (M',G) be representatives of $[M,G]$. Let $x \in M$ and $x' \in M'$ be points in free G-orbits of M and M', respectively. Then, there exists a unique G-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to M'$ such that $\varphi(x) = x'$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi\colon M \to M'$ be a G-equivariant biholomorphism. Since φ is holomorphic and G-equivariant, it is $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant. Since x sits in the open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit of M, $\varphi(x)$ sits in the open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit of M'. Since x' sits in the same $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit as $\varphi(x)$, there exists an element $g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $g \cdot \varphi(x) = x'$. So we have a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant biholomorphism

$g\cdot\varphi\colon M\to M'$ such that $(g\cdot\varphi)(x)=x'$. This shows the existence of G (and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$)-equivariant biholomorphism from M to M' which sends x to x'.

Let $\varphi\colon M\to M'$ and $\varphi'\colon M\to M'$ be G-equivariant biholomorphisms such that $\varphi(x)=\varphi'(x)=x'$. As before, φ and φ' are G-equivariant and holomorphic and hence $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant. Since x sits in the open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit and both φ and φ' are $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-equivariant, $\varphi(x)=\varphi'(x)$ implies that φ coincides with φ' on the open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit. Since both φ and φ' are continuous, φ coincides with φ' on the whole manifold M. This shows the uniqueness, proving the lemma. □

Lemma 8.3. *Let $[M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2]\in\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\alpha\colon G_1\to G_2$ be a group homomorphism. Let (M_i,G_i) be a representative of $[M_i,G_i]$ for $i=1,2$ and let $x_1\in M_1$ be a point in a free G_1-orbit of M_1. Let $\psi_1\colon M_1\to M_2$ and $\psi_2\colon M_1\to M_2$ be α-equivariant holomorphic maps for $i=1,2$. Suppose that $\psi_1(x_1)=\psi_2(x_1)$. Then, $\psi_1=\psi_2$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 8.2, ψ_1 coincides with ψ_2 on the open dense $G_1^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit of M_1 because $\psi_1(x)=\psi_2(x)$. So $\psi_1=\psi_2$, as required. □

Proof of Lemma 8.1. Let $\psi_1'\colon M_1'\to M_2''$ be another representative of $[\psi_1]$, let $\psi_2'\colon M_2'''\to M_3'$ be another representative of $[\psi_2]$, and let $\varphi_2'\colon M_2''\to M_2'''$ be an equivariant biholomorphism. We need to show that the composition $\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'$ is equivalent to $\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1$.

Let $x_1\in M_1$ be a point in a free G_1-orbit in M_1 and let $x_1'\in M_1'$ be a point in a free G_1-orbit in M_1'. By Lemma 8.2, there exists a G_1-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_1\colon M_1\to M_1'$ such that $\varphi_1(x_1)=x_1'$. Let $x_3=\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1(x_1)\in M_3$ and let $x_3'=\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'(x_1')\in M_3'$. x_3 and x_3' sit in a free G_3-orbit in M_3 and M_3', respectively. By Lemma 8.2, there exists a G_3-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_3\colon M_3\to M_3'$ such that $\varphi_3(x_3)=x_3'$. Thus, $x_3=\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1(x_1)=\varphi_3^{-1}\circ\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'\circ\varphi_1(x_1)$.

Suppose that ψ_1 is α_1-equivariant and ψ_2 is α_2-equivariant. Since ψ_1' is equivalent to ψ_1 and ψ_2' is equivalent to ψ_2, both $\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1$ and $\varphi_3^{-1}\circ\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'\circ\varphi_1$ are $\alpha_2\circ\alpha_1$-equivariant. It follows from Lemma 8.3 that $\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1=\varphi_3^{-1}\circ\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'\circ\varphi_1$. This shows that $\psi_2\circ\varphi_2\circ\psi_1$ is equivalent to $\psi_2'\circ\varphi_2'\circ\psi_1'$, proving the lemma. □

Let $(\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1)$ and $(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2)$ be elements in $\mathscr{C}_2$. Let $\alpha\colon G_1\to G_2$ be a Lie group homomorphism. We say that α is a *morphism* from $(\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1)$ to $(\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2)$ if the differential $(d\alpha)_1\colon\mathfrak{g}_1\to\mathfrak{g}_2$ of α at the unity 1 of G_1 is a morphism of fans[3] from Δ_1 to Δ_2, and the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}:=(d\alpha)_1\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{C}}\to\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends $\mathfrak{h}_1$ into $\mathfrak{h}_2$, that is, $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_1)\subseteq\mathfrak{h}_2$. We denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1),(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2))$ the set of all morphisms from $(\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1)$ to $(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2)$.

Each element $(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G)\in\mathscr{C}_2$ has the identity morphism id_G. For elements $(\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1)$, $(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2)$ and $(\Delta_3,\mathfrak{h}_3,G_3)$ in $\mathscr{C}_2$ and for morphisms $\alpha_1\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1),(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2))$ and $\alpha_2\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2),(\Delta_3,\mathfrak{h}_3,G_3))$, it is easy to see that the composition $\alpha_2\circ$

---

[3] A morphism of fans from Δ_1 to Δ_2 is a linear map such that the image of each cone in Δ_1 is contained in a cone in Δ_2.

$\alpha_1\colon G_1 \to G_3$ is also a morphism from $(\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1)$ to $(\Delta_3, \mathfrak{h}_3, G_3)$ and the composition satisfies the associative low. Therefore $\mathscr{C}_2$ with the hom-sets $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1), (\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2))$ for all $(\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1), (\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2) \in \mathscr{C}_2$ forms a category.

We already have the object function $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$. So we would like to define a mapping function in the category $\mathscr{C}_1$, use the same notation $\mathscr{F}_1$, so that $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$ becomes a covariant functor. For a morphism $[\psi] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1, G_1], [M_2, G_2])$, we assign a group homomorphism $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi])\colon G_1 \to G_2$ to $[\psi]$ so that each representative ψ of $[\psi]$ is $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi])$-equivariant. By definition of morphisms in $\mathscr{C}_1$, $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi])$ is well-defined.

Lemma 8.4. *$\mathscr{F}_1$ satisfies the following :*

(1) $\mathscr{F}_1([\mathrm{id}_M]) = \mathrm{id}_G$ *for the identity morphism* $[\mathrm{id}_M]$ *of* $[M, G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$.
(2) $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi_2] \circ [\psi_1]) = \mathscr{F}_1([\psi_2]) \circ \mathscr{F}_1([\psi_1])$ *for morphisms* $[\psi_1] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1, G_1], [M_2, G_2])$ *and* $[\psi_2] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1, G_2], [M_2, G_3])$.
(3) $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi]) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}(\mathscr{F}_1([M_1, G_1]), \mathscr{F}_1([M_2, G_2]))$ *for* $[\psi] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1, G_1], [M_2, G_2])$.

In particular, $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$ is a covariant functor from the category $\mathscr{C}_1$ to the category $\mathscr{C}_2$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are obvious.

For $i = 1, 2$, let (M_i, G_i) be a representative of $[M_i, G_i]$ and suppose that $\mathscr{F}_1([M_i, G_i]) = (\Delta_i, \mathfrak{h}_i, G_i)$. Let $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ be a representative of $[\psi]$ and let $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi]) = \alpha$. Let σ be a cone in Δ and let $v \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ be an element which belongs to the relative interior of σ. Let $c^v_{x_1}\colon \mathbb{R} \to M_1$ be the curve as Lemma 5.5 above. By Lemma 5.5, the curve converges to a point in M_1 as r approaches to $-\infty$. Moreover, the limit point, say x_σ, does not vary with v as long as v belongs to the relative interior of σ. Take a point $x_1 \in M_1$ which belongs to a free G_1-orbit and put $x_2 := \psi(x_1)$. By definition of morphisms, x_2 also belongs to a free G_2-orbit. Since $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is an α-equivariant holomorphic map such that $\psi(x_1) = x_2$, we have that $\psi \circ c^v_{x_1}(r) = c^{(d\alpha)_1(v)}_{x_2}(r)$. Therefore the curve $c^{(d\alpha)_1(v)}_{x_2}(r)$ converges to $\psi(x_\sigma)$ as r approaches to $-\infty$. By Lemma 5.5, $(d\alpha)_1(v) \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ belongs to the relative interior of a cone in Δ_2 for all v which belongs to the relative interior of σ. This shows that $(d\alpha)_1$ induces a morphism of fans from Δ_1 to Δ_2.

Since ψ is equivariant and holomorphic, ψ is α-equivariant holomorphic map, with respect to $\alpha\colon G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_i$ is the Lie algebra of the global stabilizers of the action of $G_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ on M_i, we have that $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_2$. Therefore $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi]) = \alpha$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1), (\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2))$, proving Part (3). ☐

Let $(\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1)$ and $(\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2)$ be objects in $\mathscr{C}_2$ and let $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$ be a morphism from $(\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1)$ to $(\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2)$. Since $(d\alpha)_1\colon \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ induces a morphism of fans from Δ_1 to Δ_2, it also induces a toric morphism $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}\colon X(\Delta_1) \to X(\Delta_2)$ such that $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}(1_{G_1^{\mathbb{C}}}) = 1_{G_2^{\mathbb{C}}}$, where $1_{G_i^{\mathbb{C}}}$ denotes the unit of $G_i^{\mathbb{C}}$ and each $1_{G_i^{\mathbb{C}}}$ can be thought of a point in $X(\Delta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_2$, the morphism $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}$ descends to an α-equivariant holomorphic map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1 \to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$, where $H_i = \exp \mathfrak{h}_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore, the morphism α induces a morphism

$$[\psi_\alpha] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([X(\Delta_1)/H_1, G_1], [X(\Delta_2)/H_2, G_2]).$$

Clearly, the identity morphism $\mathrm{id}_{(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},G)} = \mathrm{id}_G$ induces the identity map on $X(\Delta)/H$, and $\psi_{\alpha_2\circ\alpha_1} = \psi_{\alpha_2} \circ \psi_{\alpha_1}$ for morphisms

$$\alpha_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1), (\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2))$$

and

$$\alpha_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2), (\Delta_3, \mathfrak{h}_3, G_3)).$$

Thus we have a covariant functor $\mathscr{F}_2\colon \mathscr{C}_2 \to \mathscr{C}_1$ such that $\mathscr{F}_2(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) = [X(\Delta)/H, G]$ for an object $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ and $\mathscr{F}_2(\alpha) = [\psi_\alpha]$ for a morphism α.

Theorem 8.5. *The functors $\mathscr{F}_1\colon \mathscr{C}_1 \to \mathscr{C}_2$ and $\mathscr{F}_2\colon \mathscr{C}_2 \to \mathscr{C}_1$ are the inverses to each other. In particular, the categories $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ be an object in $\mathscr{C}_2$. By Theorem 7.9, $\mathscr{F}_1 \circ \mathscr{F}_2(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) = (\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$. For a morphism α in $\mathscr{C}_2$, each representative of $\mathscr{F}_2(\alpha) = [\psi_\alpha]$ is an α-equivariant holomorphic map by definition. Thus $\mathscr{F}_1 \circ \mathscr{F}_2(\alpha) = \alpha$. Therefore $\mathscr{F}_1 \circ \mathscr{F}_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}_2}$.

Let $[M, G]$ be an object in $\mathscr{C}_1$. It follows from Theorem 7.9 that $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1([M, G]) = [M, G]$. Let $[M_1, G_1]$ and $[M_2, G_2]$ be objects in $\mathscr{C}_1$ and let $[\psi] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1, G_1], [M_2, G_2])$ be a morphism. Suppose that $\mathscr{F}_1([M_i, G_i]) = (\Delta_i, \mathfrak{h}_i, G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\mathscr{F}_1([\psi]) = \alpha$. Then, $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1([\psi])$ is a morphism $[\psi_\alpha] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([X(\Delta_1)/H_1, G_1], [X(\Delta_2)/H_2, G_2])$ which is induced by the group homomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$. To show that $[\psi] = [\psi_\alpha]$, we need to show that the representative $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is equivalent to the representative $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1 \to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$. Take a point $x_1 \in M_1$ sitting in a free G_1-orbit in M_1. Also, take a point $y_1 \in X(\Delta_1)/H_1$ sitting in a free G_1-orbit. As we saw, $[M_i, G_i] = [X(\Delta_i), G_i]$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus it follows from Lemma 8.2 that there exists a G_1-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_1\colon M_1 \to X(\Delta_1)/H_1$ such that $\varphi_1(x_1) = y_1$. Since $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}\colon X(\Delta_1) \to X(\Delta_2)$ is a toric morphism, the induced map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1 \to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ sends y_1 to a point sitting in a free G_2-orbit. Since ψ is a representative of a morphism $[\psi]$, $\psi(x_1)$ sits in a free G_2-orbit of M_2. Therefore, by Lemma 8.2, there exists a G_2-equivariant biholomorphism $\varphi_2\colon M_2 \to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ such that $\varphi_2(\psi(x_1)) = \psi_\alpha(y_1)$.

The composition $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_1\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is an α-equivariant holomorphic map such that $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_1(x_1) = \psi(x_1)$. By Lemma 8.3, ψ coincides with $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_1$ and hence ψ is equivalent to ψ_α. This shows that $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1([\psi]) = [\psi]$. This together with $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1([M, G]) = [M, G]$ shows that $\mathscr{F}_2 \circ \mathscr{F}_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}_2}$.

Therefore $\mathscr{F}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2$ are the inverses to each other, as required. □

It immediately follows from Theorem 8.5 that (M_1, G_1) and (M_2, G_2) are weakly equivariantly biholomorphic to each other if and only if $\mathscr{F}_1([M_1, G_1])$ and $\mathscr{F}_1([M_2, G_2])$ are isomorphic.

We can also classify the (non-equivariant) biholomorphism types of compact connected complex manifolds which admit maximal actions of tori in terms of $\mathscr{C}_2$.

Theorem 8.6. *Let M_1 and M_2 be compact connected complex manifold, equipped with maximal actions of compact tori G_1 and G_2 which preserve the complex structures on M_1 and M_2, respectively. Then, M_1 is biholomorphic to M_2 if and only if $\mathscr{F}_1([M_1,G_1])$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_1([M_2,G_2])$. In particular, M_1 and M_2 are biholomorphic to each other if and only if (M_1,G_1) and (M_2,G_2) are weakly equivariantly biholomorphic to each other.*

Proof. Since (M_1,G_1) is weakly equivariantly biholomorphic to (M_2,G_2) if and only if $\mathscr{F}_1([M_1,G_1])$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_1([M_2,G_2])$, the "if" part is obvious.

We show the "only if" part. Let $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ be a biholomorphism. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(M_i)$ of all biholomorphisms from M_i to M_i itself is a complex Lie group (see [8, Chapter 10, Section 5, COROLLAIRE 2]). The biholomorphism $\psi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ induces a complex Lie group isomorphism $\psi_*\colon \mathrm{Aut}(M_1) \to \mathrm{Aut}(M_2)$. Since G_i acts on M_i effectively, G_i can be regarded as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_i)$ for $i = 1,2$. Let K_i be a maximal connected compact Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_i)$ which contains G_i. Then, $\psi_*(K_1)$ is also a maximal connected compact Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_2)$. Since maximal connected compact Lie subgroups $\psi_*(K_1)$ and K_2 of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_2)$ are conjugate to each other (see [11, Chapter XV, Section 3]), there exists an element $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(M_2)$ such that $g\psi_*(K_1)g^{-1} = K_2$. Moreover, Since $g\psi_*(G_1)g^{-1}$ and G_2 are maximal tori in K_2, there exists an element $g' \in K_2$ such that $g'g\psi_*(G_1)g^{-1}g'^{-1} = G_2$. Put $g'' = \psi_*^{-1}(g'g)$ and then $g'' \circ \psi \circ g''^{-1}\colon M_1 \to M_2$ is a biholomorphism which induces a group isomorphism $(g'' \circ \psi \circ g''^{-1})_*\colon \mathrm{Aut}(M_1) \to \mathrm{Aut}(M_2)$ whose restriction to G_1 is an isomorphism onto G_2. Therefore $\psi' := g'' \circ \psi \circ g''^{-1}$ is an α-equivariant biholomorphism for some group isomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$. Let $x_1 \in M_1$ be a point sitting in a free G_1-orbit. Then $\psi'(x_1) \in M_2$ is a point sitting in a free G_2-orbit, too. Therefore ψ' determines a morphism $[\psi'] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_1,G_1],[M_2,G_2])$. Since ψ' is an α-equivariant biholomorphism, the inverse ψ'^{-1} exists and determine a morphism $[\psi'^{-1}] \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_1}([M_2,G_2],[M_1,G_1])$ which is the inverse to $[\psi']$. Thus $[\psi']$ is an isomorphism and hence $\mathscr{F}_2([\psi']) = \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1),(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2))$ is an isomorphism, proving the "only if" part. □

9. MOMENT-ANGLE COMPLEXES AND PARTIAL QUOTIENTS

Let Σ be an abstract simplicial complex on $\{1,\dots,m\}$ (we do not require each single set $\{j\}$ to be a vertex of Σ). For each simplex $I \in \Sigma$, we set

$$(\mathbb{D},S^1)^I := \{(z_1,\dots,z_m) \in \mathbb{D}^m \mid z_j \in S^1 \text{ if } j \notin I\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$$

and

$$\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma := \bigcup_{I\in\Sigma} (\mathbb{D},S^1)^I.$$

Since each $(\mathbb{D},S^1)^I$ is invariant under the natural $(S^1)^m$-action on $\mathbb{C}^m$, so is $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$. The topological space $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is called a *moment-angle complex*. Moment-angle complexes play an important role in toric topology (see [3, Chapter 6] for details). For examples, $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is a topological $(m+d)$-manifold when the geometric realization $|\Sigma|$ of Σ is homeomorphic to a $(d-1)$-sphere, and becomes a total space of a principal torus bundle over a nonsingular toric variety $X(\Delta)$ when Σ is isomorphic to the underlying simplicial complex of Δ.

Moment-angle complexes also play an important role in our context. Let Σ be an abstract simplicial complex on $\{1,\dots,m\}$ such that $|\Sigma|$ is homeomorphic to an $(d-1)$-sphere. First, we claim that the $(S^1)^m$-action on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is maximal. Since $|\Sigma|$ is homeomorphic to an $(d-1)$-sphere, there exists $I\in\Sigma$ such that the cardinality $|I|$ of I is equal to d. For simplicity, assume that $I=\{1,\dots,d\}$. Then, $x:=(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{d},\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{m-d})\in(\mathbb{D},S^1)^I\subset\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$. The isotropy subgroup of $(S^1)^m$ at x is

$$\left\{(g_1,\dots,g_d,1,\dots,1)\in(S^1)^m\mid g_i\in S^1\right\}$$

and has dimension d. Since $m+d=\dim\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$, the action of $(S^1)^m$ on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is maximal.

By the fact that $(S^1)^m$-action on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is maximal, $[\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma,(S^1)^m]\in\mathscr{C}_1$ if $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ has a complex structure invariant under the action of $(S^1)^m$. Now we identify the Lie algebra of S^1 with $\mathbb{R}$ through the differential of the exponential map $t\mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t}$. Then, the nonsingular fan Δ for $\mathscr{F}_1([\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma,(S^1)^m])=(\Delta,\mathfrak{h},(S^1)^m)\in\mathscr{C}_2$ is as follows. The fan Δ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is the collection of cones

$$\{\operatorname{pos}(s_ie_i\mid i\in I)\mid I\in\Sigma\}$$

where e_i denotes the i-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\operatorname{pos}(A)$ denotes the cone spanned by elements in A and $s_i=\pm1$. The Lie algebra of $((S^1)^m)^{\mathbb{C}}=(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^m$ throuth the exponential map. The complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathbb{R}^m\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{C}^m$ satisfy the conditions

(1) the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the projection to the real part $p\colon\mathbb{C}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m$ is injective.
(2) the quotient map $q\colon\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m/p(\mathfrak{h})$ sends Δ to a complete fan in $\mathbb{R}^m/p(\mathfrak{h})$.

by definition of $\mathscr{C}_2$. By condition (2), the geometric realization $|\Sigma|$ of the simplicial complex Σ should be homeomorphic to a sphere and Σ should be star-shaped[4].

Conversely, we can construct a compact connected complex manifold equipped with a maximal action of $(S^1)^m$ from $\Delta=\{\operatorname{pos}(s_ie_i\mid i\in I)\mid I\in\Sigma\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) by the functor $\mathscr{F}_2\colon\mathscr{C}_2\to\mathscr{C}_1$. By comparing $\mathscr{F}_2$ with [20, Construction 3.1 and Theorem 3.2], we have the following:

Theorem 9.1. *A moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ for a simplicial complex Σ on $\{1,\dots,m\}$ becomes a manifold and admits a complex structure invariant under the natural torus action if and only if the geometric realization $|\Sigma|$ of Σ is homeomorphic to a $(d-1)$-dimensional sphere, Σ is star-shaped and $m+d$ is even. Moreover, a complex structure on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ invariant under the action of $(S^1)^m$ coincides with the complex structure constructed by Panov and Ustinovsky (*[20, Construction 3.1 and Theorem 3.2]*).* □

---

[4] A $(d-1)$-simplicial complex whose geometric realization is homeomorphic to $(d-1)$-sphere is said to be *star-shaped* if Σ is isomorphic to the underlying simplicial complex of a simplicial complete fan in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 9.2. In [15], a moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ for a simplicial poset Σ is introduced and has nice properties as well as the case Σ is a simplicial complex. For example, $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is also a manifold when the geometric realization of Σ is homeomorphic to a sphere. One can see that the action of $(S^1)^m$ on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is maximal. However, our classification Theorem 7.9 yields that Σ should be a simplicial complex when $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ admits a complex structure invariant under the action of $(S^1)^m$.

Let Σ be a simplicial complex on $\{1,\dots,m\}$ whose geometric realization $|\Sigma|$ is homeomorphic to a $(d-1)$-sphere. Then, $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ is a compact connected topological manifold with a maximal $(S^1)^m$-action. Let S be a subtorus of $(S^1)^m$ which acts on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ freely. Then, the quotient $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ is also a compact connected topological manifold with a maximal action of the torus $(S^1)^m/S$. The quotient space $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ with the action of the torus $(S^1)^m/S$ is called a *partial quotient*. The following problem arises:

- Characterize S so that the partial quotient $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ admits a complex structure invariant under the action of the torus $(S^1)^m/S$.
- Find complex structures on $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ and $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ so that the quotient map $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma \to \mathscr{Z}_\Sigma/S$ is holomorphic.

In order to answer to these problems, we begin from the following general consequence.

Theorem 9.3. *Let $(\Delta_i,\mathfrak{h}_i,G_i)\in\mathscr{C}_2$ for $i=1,2$ and let $\alpha\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1,\mathfrak{h}_1,G_1),(\Delta_2,\mathfrak{h}_2,G_2))$. Let $G'\subset G_1$ denote the kernel of α (as a group homomorphism). Then,*

1. *the induced α-equivariant map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1\to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ is G'-invariant.*
2. *ψ_α is a principal G'-bundle if and only if $(d\alpha)_1$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive generators of* 1*-cones in Δ_1 to the primitive generators of* 1*-cones in Δ_2 and α is surjective.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from the fact that the toric morphism $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}\colon X(\Delta_1)\to X(\Delta_2)$ is G'-invariant.

For Part (2), suppose that ψ_α is a principal G'-bundle. Then, $\alpha\colon G_1\to G_2$ is surjective; otherwise, ψ_α is not surjective. For each characteristic submanifold of $X(\Delta_1)/H_1$, the image of it by ψ_α is also a characteristic submanifold of $X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ and vice versa. Let $N_1,\dots,N_k$ be the characteristic submanifolds of $X(\Delta_1)/H_1$. For each point $x\in N_i$, the normal vector space $T_x(X(\Delta_1)/H_1)/T_xN_i$ is isomorphic to $T_{\psi_\alpha(x)}(X(\Delta_2)/H_2)/T_{\psi_\alpha(x)}(\psi_\alpha(N_i))$ through the differential $(d\psi_\alpha)_x$. Let $\lambda_i\in(S^1,G_1)$ be the homomorphism such that

$$(d\lambda_i(g))_x(\xi)=g\xi \quad \text{for } g\in S^1,\ \xi\in T_x(X(\Delta_1)/H_1)/T_xN_i,$$

that is, the primitive generator of the 1-cone corresponding to N_i. Applying $(d\psi_\alpha)_x$, we have

$$(d\psi_\alpha)_x((d\lambda_i(g))_x(\xi))=g(d\psi_\alpha)_x(\xi).$$

Since ψ_α is α-equivariant, we have

$$(d(\alpha\circ\lambda_i(g)))_x((d\psi_\alpha)_x(\xi))=g(d\psi_\alpha)_x(\xi).$$

Therefore, the primitive generator of the 1-cone in Δ_2 corresponding to $\psi_\alpha(N_i)$ is $\alpha \circ \lambda_i = (d\alpha)_1(\lambda_i)$, proving the "only if" part.

To show the "if" part, suppose that $(d\alpha)_1$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ_1 to the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ_2 and α is surjective. Let $p_i\colon \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}_i$ be the projection and let $q_i\colon \mathfrak{g}_i \to \mathfrak{g}_i/p_i(\mathfrak{h}_i)$ be the quotient map for $i = 1,2$. Since $(\Delta_i, \mathfrak{h}_i, G_i) \in \mathscr{C}_2$, $q_i(\Delta_i)$ is a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}_i/p_i(\mathfrak{h}_i)$. Since $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta_1, \mathfrak{h}_1, G_1), (\Delta_2, \mathfrak{h}_2, G_2))$ and $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$ is surjective as a group homomorphism, $(d\alpha)_1\colon \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ is surjective and $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_2$. Therefore $(d\alpha)_1$ induces a surjective linear map $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}\colon \mathfrak{g}_1/p_1(\mathfrak{h}_1) \to \mathfrak{g}_2/p_2(\mathfrak{h}_2)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ_1. Then, $v_1 = (d\alpha)_1(\lambda_1), \dots, v_k = (d\alpha)_1(\lambda_k)$ are the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ_2. Put

$$\Sigma := \{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \mid \mathrm{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I) \in \Delta_1\}$$

Then, $\Delta_1 = \{C_I := \mathrm{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$ and $q_1(\Delta_1) = \{q_1(C_I) = \mathrm{pos}(q_1(\lambda_i) \mid i \in I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}$. Since α is a morphism, $(d\alpha)_1(C_I)$ is contained in a cone in Δ_2 for each $I \in \Sigma$. Therefore, $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}(q_1(C_I))$ is contained in a cone in $q_2(\Delta_2)$. This together with the fact that $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}$ is surjective shows that the image $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}(q_1(\Delta_1))$ of the complete fan $q_1(\Delta_1)$ by $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}$ is a refinement of the complete fan $q_2(\Delta_2)$, in particular, $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}$ is an isomorphism. However, the number of 1-cones in Δ_1 is same as 1-cones in Δ_2, $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}(q_1(\Delta_1)) = q_2(\Delta_2)$. Therefore, $\Delta_2 = \{\mathrm{pos}(v_i \mid i \in I)\}_{I \in \Sigma}$.

Let us think of the toric varieties $X(\Delta_1)$ and $X(\Delta_2)$ as the quotient spaces (see (6.3))

$$X(\Delta_1) = \bigsqcup_{I \in \Sigma} G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{G_{1,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I} / \approx$$

and

$$X(\Delta_2) = \bigsqcup_{I \in \Sigma} G_2^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{G_{2,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\beta_i^I} / \approx,$$

where α_i^I (respectively, β_i^I) for $i \in I$ denote the dual basis of λ_i (respectively, v_i), $i \in I$. Then, the restriction of the toric morphism $\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}$ to each affine toric variety $G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{G_{1,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\psi_\alpha}([g, (z_i)_{i \in I}]) = [\alpha(g), (z_i)_{i \in I}] \in G_2^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{G_{2,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\beta_i^I}.$$

Therefore the α-equivariant holomorphic map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1 \to X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ is given by

$$\psi_\alpha([[g], (z_i)_{i \in I}]) = [[\alpha(g)], (z_i)_{i \in I}] \in (G_2^{\mathbb{C}}/H_2) \times_{G_{2,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\beta_i^I}$$

for each $[[g], (z_i)_{i \in I}]$ in the open subset $(G_1^{\mathbb{C}}/H_1) \times_{G_{1,I}^{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_i^I}$ of $X(\Delta_1)/H_1$. Since the homomorphism $G_1^{\mathbb{C}}/H_1 \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}/H_2$, induced by the complexified homomorphism $\alpha\colon G_1^{\mathbb{C}} \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}$, induces an isomorphism from $G_{1,I}$ to $G_{2,I}$ for each $I \in \Sigma$, the kernel of the induced homomorphism $G_1^{\mathbb{C}}/H_1 \to G_2^{\mathbb{C}}/H_2$, say $\widetilde{G}'$, acts on the preimage of each point $y \in X(\Delta)_2/H_2$ by ψ_α simply transitively. Therefore the α-equivariant holomorphic map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta_1)/H_1 \to$

$X(\Delta_2)/H_2$ is a principal $\widetilde{G'}$-bundle. Since $X(\Delta_1)/H_1$ is compact, $\widetilde{G'}$ is also compact. Therefore $\widetilde{G'}$ is contained in G_1 (regarded as a subgroup of $G_1^{\mathbb{C}}/H_1$) and hence $\widetilde{G'}$ is the kernel G' of $\alpha\colon G_1 \to G_2$, proving the "if" part. $\square$

As an application of Theorem 9.3, we can answer to the problems mentioned above Theorem 9.3.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) \in \mathscr{C}_2$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ. Let m be a positive integer such that $m - \dim G$ is even, $m \geq k$ and $m \geq \dim G$. Then, there exist a simplicial complex Σ on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and a complex structure on the moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ such that $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ admits a holomorphic principal S-bundle $\psi\colon \mathscr{Z}_\Sigma \to X(\Delta)/H$ for some (possibly non-connected) subgroup $S \subseteq (S^1)^m$.*

Proof. Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a surjective linear map such that $f(e_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $f(\mathbb{Z}^m) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(S^1, G)$, where e_i denotes the i-th standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Remark that such an f always exists because $m \geq k$ and $m \geq \dim G = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. Since $f(e_i) \in \operatorname{Hom}(S^1, G)$ for all i and f is surjective, there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\alpha\colon (S^1)^m \to G$ such that $(d\alpha)_1 = f$. Let Σ be the simplicial complex on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ defined as

$$\Sigma := \{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \mid \operatorname{pos}(\lambda_i \mid i \in I) \in \Delta\}.$$

Define a nonsingular fan Δ' in $\mathbb{R}^m$ as

$$\Delta' := \{\operatorname{pos}(e_i \mid i \in I) \mid I \in \Sigma\}.$$

We want to find a complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}') = \mathfrak{h}$ and the quotient map $q'\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m/p'(\mathfrak{h}')$ sends Δ' to a complete fan in $\mathbb{R}^m/p'(\mathfrak{h}')$, where p' denotes the projection from $\mathbb{C}^m$ to its real part. Let $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the projection and let $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ be the quotient map. Let $w_1, \dots, w_l$ be a complex basis of $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, $u_1 = p(w_1), \dots, u_l = p(w_l), v_1 = p(\sqrt{-1}w_1), \dots, v_l = p(\sqrt{-1}w_l)$ form a basis of $p(\mathfrak{h})$. Since f is surjective, there exist u'_i and v'_i in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $f(u'_i) = u_i$ and $f(v'_i) = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Each of u'_i and v'_i belongs to $\ker q \circ f$. Since $m - \dim G$ is even and f is surjective, the dimension of $\ker f$ is even, say $2n - 2l$. Let $u'_{l+1}, \dots, u'_n, v'_{l+1}, \dots, v'_n$ be a basis of $\ker f$ and put $w'_i := u'_i + \sqrt{-1}v'_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define the complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ to be spanned by w'_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $(d\alpha)_1^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}') = \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, $(d\alpha)_1$ induces an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}\colon \mathbb{R}^m/p'(\mathfrak{h}') \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\overline{(d\alpha)_1}([e_i]) = q(\lambda_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $[e_i]$ denotes the equivalence class of e_i. Therefore, the quotient map $q'\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m/p'(\mathfrak{h}')$ sends the fan Δ' to a complete fan in $\mathbb{R}^m/p'(\mathfrak{h}')$. Thus, $(\Delta', \mathfrak{h}', (S^1)^m)$ is an object in $\mathscr{C}_2$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_2}((\Delta', \mathfrak{h}', (S^1)^m), (\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G))$. Let S be the kernel of α (as group homomorphism). It follows from Theorem 9.3 that the α-equivariant holomorphic map $\psi_\alpha\colon X(\Delta')/H' \to X(\Delta)/H$ is a principal S-bundle, where $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ and $H' = \exp(\mathfrak{h}')$. Since $X(\Delta')/H'$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to a moment-angle complex $\mathscr{Z}_\Sigma$ by Theorem 9.1, the theorem holds. $\square$

10. NONDEGENERATE $\mathbb{C}^n$-ACTIONS ON COMPLEX n-MANIFOLDS

The notion of nondegenerate $\mathbb{R}^n$-actions on real n-manifolds is introduced by Tien Zung and Van Minh (see [22] for details). In this section, we will briefly review a part of their works and give a complete classification of complex manifolds of complex dimension n equipped with holomorphic nondegenerate actions of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as an application of Theorem 7.9.

Let M be an n-dimensional smooth manifold, equipped with an $\mathbb{R}^n$-action $\rho\colon \mathbb{R}^n \times M \to M$. For each $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let X_v denote the fundamental vector field generated by v, that is,

$$X_v(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\rho(tv \cdot x)|_{t=0}$$

for $x \in M$. A point $x \in M$ is said to be a *singular point* if the dimension of the vector space

$$\mathfrak{k}_x := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid X_v(x) = 0\}$$

is greater than 0. At a singular point x, we can consider the isotropy representation $\pi_x\colon \mathfrak{k}_x \to GL(T_xM/T_x(\mathbb{R}^n \cdot x))$. The singular point x is said to be *nondegenerate* if the differential $(d\pi_x)_0$ of π_x at $0 \in \mathfrak{k}_x$ is injective, that is, the whole image by $(d\pi_x)_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(T_xM/T_x(\mathbb{R}^n \cdot x))$. The action ρ is called *nondegenerate* if any singular point is nondegenerate.

Let $\rho\colon \mathbb{R}^n \times M \to M$ be a nondegenerate action. According to [22, Theorem 2.6], at a singular point x, there exist unique nonnegative integers h, e, a basis $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a local coordinate system $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in a neighborhood of x such that the vector fields are represented as

$$\begin{cases} X_{v_i} = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, h, \\ X_{v_{h+2j-1}} = x_{h+2j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{h+2j-1}} + x_{h+2j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{h+2j}}, & \\ X_{v_{h+2j}} = x_{h+2j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{h+2j}} - x_{h+2j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{h+2j-1}} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, e, \\ X_{v_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} & \text{for } k = h+2e+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

h is called the *number of hyperbolic component* and e is called the *number of elbolic component* at x. The pair (h, e) of nonnegative integers is called the *HE-invariant* at x.

When the HE-invariant at x is (h, e), the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$-orbit through x is $n - h - 2e$. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}^n \cdot x$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^r \times (S^1)^t$ for some r, t with $r + t = n - h - 2e$. The quadruple (h, e, r, t) of nonnegative integers is called the *HERT-invariant* at x.

Let Z_ρ be the global stabilizers, that is,

$$Z_\rho = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho(v, x) = x \text{ for all } x \in M\}.$$

Since ρ is nondegenerate, Z_ρ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore $G := \mathbb{R}Z_\rho/Z_\rho$, a compact torus, acts on M effectively. The dimension of G is said to be the *toric degree* of the action ρ. Suppose that M is connected. Then, for any point x, the HERT-invariant at x tells us the toric degree; the toric degree of ρ is equal to $e + t$, where (h, e, r, t) is the HERT-invariant at arbitrary point $x \in M$ (see [22, Theorem 3.4]). In case M is compact, there exists a compact $\mathbb{R}^n$-orbit (see [22, Proposition 2.22]). Namely, there exists a point

x such that the HERT-invariant (h,e,r,t) at x satisfy $r=0$. Moreover if x does not admit a hyperbolic component, that is, $h=0$, then $2e+t=n$.

The action $\rho\colon \mathbb{R}^n\times M\to M$ is called *elbolic* if each singular point $x\in M$ does not admit hyperbolic components. The relation between maximal torus actions and elbolic actions is as follows:

Lemma 10.1. *Let M be a compact connected manifold of dimension n, equipped with an elbolic $\mathbb{R}^n$-action. Let G be the compact torus as above. Then, the action of G on M is maximal.*

Proof. As we mentioned above, there exists a point $x\in M$ such that the HERT-invariant at x is $(0,e,0,t)$, $2e+t=n$. So there exist a basis $(v_1,\dots,v_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a local coordinate system $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in a neighborhood at x such that the vector fields are represented as

$$\begin{cases} X_{v_{2j-1}} = x_{2j-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + x_{2j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}}, & \\ X_{v_{2j}} = x_{2j-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} - x_{2j-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} & \text{for } j=1,\dots,e, \\ X_{v_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} & \text{for } k=2e+1,\dots,n. \end{cases}$$

Let $v=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$, $a_i\in\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $v\in\mathbb{R}Z_\rho$. Then, the vector field X_v is almost periodic. So $a_{2j-1}=0$ for all $j=1,\dots,e$ (otherwise, X_v is not almost periodic). The vector subspace

$$V:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \mid a_i=0 \text{ for } i=2j-1,\ j=1,\dots,e\right\}$$

has dimension $e+t$ and contains $\mathbb{R}Z_\rho$. Since the toric degree, the dimension of $\mathbb{R}Z_\rho$, is given by $e+t$, we have that $V=\mathbb{R}Z_\rho$. Therefore for $v\in\mathbb{R}Z_\rho$, $X_v(x)=0$ if and only if v is a linear combination of v_{2j}, $j=1,\dots,e$. This shows that the dimension of the isotropy subgroup G_x at x is equal to e. It follows from $2e+t=n$ and $\dim G=e+t$ that $\dim G+\dim G_x=\dim M$. Since the action of G on M is effective, the equality $\dim G+\dim G_x=\dim M$ shows that the G-action on M is maximal, as required. □

Since $\mathbb{C}^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as real Lie groups, we can consider holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-actions on complex manifolds of complex dimension n. In the case of holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action, each point x does not admit hyperbolic components.

Lemma 10.2. *Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension n, equipped with a holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action $\rho\colon \mathbb{C}^n\times M\to M$. Then, the action ρ is elbolic.*

Proof. Let x be a singular point in M with respect to the action $\rho\colon \mathbb{C}^n\times M\to M$. Let (h,e) be the HE-invariant at x. Suppose that $h>0$. Then, there exist an element $v\in\mathbb{C}^n$ and a local coordinate system $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in a neighborhood at x such that the vector field X_v is represented as

$$X_v = x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}. \tag{10.1}$$

Let J be the complex structure on M. Since the action $\rho\colon \mathbb{C}^n \times M \to M$ is holomorphic, the section

$$\frac{1}{2}(X_v - \sqrt{-1}JX_v)\colon M \to TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is a holomorphic vector field. So the zero locus of X_v has even codimension. This contradicts the fact that the zero locus of X_v has codimension 1 by (10.1). Therefore $h = 0$. Since each singular point x does not admit hyperbolic components, the action $\rho\colon \mathbb{C}^n \times M \to M$ is elbolic, as required. $\square$

Combining Lemma 10.2 with Lemma 10.1, we have that if a compact connected complex manifold M of complex dimension n admits a holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action, then M admits a maximal action of a compact torus G which preserves the complex structure on M. This allows us to classify compact connected complex manifolds equipped with holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action with Theorems 7.9 and 8.6.

Theorem 10.3. *Let M be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension n. M admits a holomorphic nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^n$-action if and only if M admits an action of a compact torus G which is maximal and preserves the complex structure on M.*

Proof. The "only if" part follows from Lemmas 10.1 and 10.2 immediately.

In order to show the "if" part, suppose that M admits an action of a compact torus G which is maximal and preserves the complex structure on M. Then, we have the complexified action $G^{\mathbb{C}} \times M \to M$ of the action of G.

Let G^M be as in Section 3. Through the exponential map, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^M$ of G^M acts on G^M holomorphically and effectively. Since M has an open dense $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit, it also has an open dense G^M-orbit. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}^M$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let N be as in Section 5. For any point $y \in N$, it follows from the definition of $N(G \cdot x)$ (see Lemma 4.9) that y is nondegenerate with respect to the action $\mathfrak{g}^M \times M \to M$. As we saw in Remark 7.10, N coincides with the whole manifold M and hence any singular point with respect to the action $\mathfrak{g}^M \times M \to M$ is nondegenerate. This shows that the $\mathfrak{g}^M$-action is nondegenerate, proving the "if" part.

$\square$

11. POSSIBILITY OF BEING KÄHLER

Let (M,G) be a representative of $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$. In this section, we give a necessary condition for a compact connected complex manifold M to admit a Kähler metric in terms of the nonsingular fan Δ, where $\mathscr{F}_1([M,G]) = (\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G)$.

Theorem 11.1. *Let (M,G) be a representative of $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$ and let $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) = \mathscr{F}_1([M,G])$. Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be the subspace of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G which is spanned by all generators of 1-cones in Δ. Suppose that M admits a Kähler metric. Then,* $\dim \mathfrak{f} = \dim M - \dim G$.

Remark 11.2. By Corollary 5.8, each cone in Δ is contained in a $(\dim M - \dim G)$-cone. Therefore, $\dim \mathfrak{f} \geq \dim M - \dim G$ for any M.

Proof of Theorem 11.1. Let h be a Kähler metric on M. By taking average of h with the action of G, we may assume that h is G-invariant without loss of generality. In this case, all elements of G act on M as isometries.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(S^1, G)$ be the primitive generators of 1-cones in Δ. Denote by G_i the circle subgroup $\lambda_i(S^1)$. By definition, G_i fixes a characteristic submanifold of M. In particular, the fixed point set M^{G_i} of the action of G_i is not empty. By Frankel's theorem (see [9]), it follows from $M^{G_i} \neq \emptyset$ that G_i acts on M in Hamiltonian fashion. Therefore, the subtorus F generated by G_i for all i acts on M in Hamiltonian fashion. So the fixed point set M^F of F is not empty. Since the action of G on M is effective, so is the action of F. $\dim G + \dim G_x \leq \dim M$ by (2.2) for any $x \in M$. Assume that $x \in M^F$. Since $F \subseteq G_x$, we have that

$$\dim F \leq \dim G_x \leq \dim M - \dim G.$$

Since the Lie algebra of F is exactly $\mathfrak{f}$ by definition of F, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{f} \leq \dim M - \dim G$.

The opposite inequality follows from Corollary 5.8. Therefore, $\dim \mathfrak{f} = \dim M - \dim G$, as required. □

Now we study the topology of M which admits a Kähler metric.

Theorem 11.3. *Let (M,G) be a representative of $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$. Suppose that M admits a Kähler metric. Then, M is a total space of a holomorphic fiber bundle over a compact complex torus whose fibers are projective nonsingular toric varieties.*

Proof. Let $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) = \mathscr{F}_1([M,G])$. By Theorem 7.9, M is G-equivariantly biholomorphic to $X(\Delta)/H$, where $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$. We will show that $X(\Delta)/H$ is a total space of a holomorphic fiber bundle over a compact complex torus whose fibers are projective nonsingular toric varieties.

Let $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the projection and let $q\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ be the quotient map. Since $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}, G) \in \mathscr{C}_2$, the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective and q sends Δ to a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$. By Theorem 11.1, all cones in Δ contained in a subspace $\mathfrak{f}$ of dimension $\dim M - \dim G$. We claim that the restriction $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}\colon \mathfrak{f} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ is isomorphism. The surjectivity of $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}$ follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{f}$ contains all cones in Δ and the fact that $q(\Delta)$ is complete. To show the injectivity of $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}$, it suffices to show that $\dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}) = \dim \mathfrak{f}$ because $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is surjective. Since $X(\Delta)/H$ is biholomorphic to M, $\dim M = 2\dim G - \dim H = 2\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{h}$. Since $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective, $\dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim p(\mathfrak{h})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h}) &= \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim p(\mathfrak{h})\\ &= \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{h}\\ &= \dim M - \dim G\\ &= \dim \mathfrak{f}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with the surjectivity of $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}$ shows that $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is an isomorphism. Since $q(\Delta)$ is complete in $\mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$, Δ is also complete in $\mathfrak{f} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ denote the restriction of all cones in Δ to $\mathfrak{f}$. Let E be a subtorus of G which is a complement of $F = \exp(\mathfrak{f})$ in G and let $\mathfrak{e}$

denote its Lie algebra. Under this notation, Δ is the join of the complete fan $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and the fan Δ_0 consisting of only the origin $\{0\}$ in $\mathfrak{e}$. So the toric variety $X(\Delta)$ associated with Δ is the product of the complete nonsingular toric variety $X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$ and the algebraic torus $X(\Delta_0) = E^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Since E is a complement of F, we have decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e} \oplus \mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the projection. We claim that $H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}} := \exp(p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$ is a closed subgroup of $E^{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 7.1, it suffices to show that the restriction $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is injective. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e} \oplus \mathfrak{f}$, we may represent each element w of $\mathfrak{h}$ as $w = u_{\mathfrak{e}} + u_{\mathfrak{f}} + \sqrt{-1}v_{\mathfrak{e}} + \sqrt{-1}v_{\mathfrak{f}}$ for some $u_{\mathfrak{e}}, v_{\mathfrak{e}} \in \mathfrak{e}$ and $u_{\mathfrak{f}}, v_{\mathfrak{f}} \in \mathfrak{f}$. Suppose that $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}(w) = 0$. Then, $w = u_{\mathfrak{f}} + \sqrt{-1}v_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Since the restriction $p|_{\mathfrak{h}}\colon \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$ of $p\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ is injective, $w = 0$ if and only if $p(w) = u_{\mathfrak{f}} = 0$. Since $q|_{\mathfrak{f}}\colon \mathfrak{f} \to \mathfrak{g}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ is an isomorphism, it follows from $p(w) = u_f$ and $w \in \mathfrak{h}$ that $u_f = 0$. Therefore $w = 0$. This shows that $H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a closed subgroup of $E^{\mathbb{C}}$. It also follows from the injectivity of $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ that $H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ does not contains a circle subgroup. Moreover, $\dim H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}} = \dim \mathfrak{h} = 2\dim G - \dim M = 2\dim G - (\dim F + \dim G) = \dim E$. In particular, $\dim H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}} = \frac{1}{2}\dim E^{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, the quotient $E^{\mathbb{C}}/H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a compact complex torus.

The first projection $X(\Delta) = E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}) \to E^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ induce a holomorphic fiber bundle

$$\psi\colon X(\Delta)/H = (E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}))/H \to E^{\mathbb{C}}/H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$$

whose typical fiber is a complete nonsingular toric variety $X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$. Since $X(\Delta)/H$ admits a Kähler metric, the fiber $X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$ also admits a Kähler metric because each fiber is a complex submanifold of $X(\Delta)/H$. For any complete nonsingular toric variety, it being projective is equivalent to it admitting a Kähler metric. Therefore the typical fiber $X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$ is a projective nonsingular toric variety, proving the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 11.4. *Let (M,G) be a representative of $[M,G] \in \mathscr{C}_1$. Suppose that M admits a Kähler metric. Then, M is diffeomorphic to a product of a nonsingular projective toric variety and a compact torus.*

Proof. We use the same notations as the proof of Theorem 11.3 and will show that $X(\Delta)/H$ is diffeomorphic to $E \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$. Since E and $H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generates $E^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}} \cap E = \{1_{E^{\mathbb{C}}}\}$, there exists unique elements $g_E \in E$ and $g_{H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}} \in H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ such that $g_{E^{\mathbb{C}}} = g_E g_{H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}}$ for any element $g_{E^{\mathbb{C}}} \in E^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $p_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}}\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the projection. Let $H_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}} := \exp(p_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{h})) \subseteq F^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the exponential map $p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{h}) \to H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is an isomorphism, the composition $p_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}} \circ (p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}|_{p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h})}})^{-1}\colon p_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{h}) \to p_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{h})$ induces a group homomorphism $\theta\colon H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}} \to H_{\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}}$. We define $\phi\colon (E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}))/H \to E \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$ to be $\phi([g_{E^{\mathbb{C}}}, x]) := (g_E, \theta(g_{H_{\mathfrak{e}^{\mathbb{C}}}})^{-1} \cdot x)$, where $[g_{E^{\mathbb{C}}}, x] \in (E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}))/H$ denotes the equivalence class of $(g_{E^{\mathbb{C}}}, x) \in E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}})$. Clearly, ϕ is well-defined. ϕ has the inverse $\phi^{-1}\colon E \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}) \to (E^{\mathbb{C}} \times X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}))/H$ defined as $\phi^{-1}(g_E, x) = [g_E, x]$. Both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are smooth, so ϕ is a diffeomorphism and $X(\Delta)/H$ is diffeomorphic to the product $X(\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}) \times E$, as required. $\square$

Related with Theorems 11.1 and 11.4 , we may consider generalizations. One direction is symplectic version. Let M be a symplectic manifold equipped with an action of a compact torus G which is maximal and preserve the symplectic form on M. If G acts on M freely, then M is diffeomorphic to a compact torus. If the fixed point set M^G is nonempty, then the G-action on M is Hamiltonian and M is a toric manifold (see [12, Remark 2. (4)] for details). We already know these extreme cases, but do not know the other cases.

Problem 11.5. Let M be a compact connected symplectic manifold equipped with an action of a compact torus G, which is maximal and preserves the symplectic form on M. Is it true that M is diffeomorphic to a product of a projective nonsingular toric variety and a compact torus?

The other direction is locally conformal Kähler version. A Hermitian manifold (M,h) is said to be a *locally conformal Kähler* manifold if the hermitian metric h is locally conformal to a Kähler metric, that is, there exists an open cover $\{U_\lambda\}$ of M and positive valued functions $f_\lambda\colon U_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $f_\lambda h$ is a Kähler metric on U_λ. A typical example of locally conformal Kähler manifold is a Hopf manifold. Let $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ and put $\alpha := e^{\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}}$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$ to be

$$(z_1,\dots,z_n) \sim (\alpha^k z_1,\dots,\alpha^k z_n) \quad \text{for all } k\in\mathbb{Z}, (z_1,\dots,z_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}.$$

The quotient space $M := \mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}/\sim$ which is the so-called Hopf manifold carries the Hermitian metric

$$h = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2}\sum_{i=1}^n dz_i\otimes d\overline{z_i}$$

which is locally conformal to a Kähler metric. Moreover, h is invariant under the action of $(S^1)^n$ on M to which the standard $(S^1)^n$-action on $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$ descends. Also, h is invariant under the action of $S^1=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by $[z_1,\dots,z_n]\mapsto[e^{\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}t}z_1,\dots,e^{\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}t}z_n]$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, where $[z_1,\dots,z_n]\in M$ denotes the equivalence class of $(z_1,\dots,z_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$. The action of $G=(S^1)^n\times S^1$ on M is maximal. In fact, the orbit through $[1,0,\dots,0]$ is a real 2-dimensional torus which is minimal.

Problem 11.6. Characterize $[M,G]\in\mathscr{C}_1$ whose representative (M,G) admits a (G-invariant) locally conformal Kähler metric.

We may expect that a solution of Problem 11.6 will provide a lot of concrete examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds, as well as the correspondence between Kähler toric manifolds and Delzant polytopes (see [7]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Yael Karshon for fruitful and stimulating discussions. He also would like to thank Mikiya Masuda and Taras Panov for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

[1] Frédéric Bosio, *Variétés complexes compactes: une généralisation de la construction de Meersseman et López de Medrano-Verjovsky*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **51** (2001), no. 5, 1259–1297 (French, with English and French summaries). MR1860666 (2002i:32015)

[2] Frédéric Bosio and Laurent Meersseman, *Real quadrics in* $\mathbf{C}^n$*, complex manifolds and convex polytopes*, Acta Math. **197** (2006), no. 1, 53–127, DOI 10.1007/s11511-006-0008-2. MR2285318 (2007j:32037)

[3] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR1897064 (2003e:57039)

[4] Eugenio Calabi and Beno Eckmann, *A class of compact, complex manifolds which are not algebraic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 494–500. MR0057539 (15,244f)

[5] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Hal Schenck, *Toric Varieties* **124** (2011), xxiv+841.

[6] Stéphanie Cupit-Foutou and Dan Zaffran, *Non-Kähler manifolds and GIT-quotients*, Math. Z. **257** (2007), no. 4, 783–797, DOI 10.1007/s00209-007-0144-1. MR2342553 (2008g:32031)

[7] Thomas Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), no. 3, 315–339 (French, with English summary). MR984900 (90b:58069)

[8] Adrien Douady, *Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966), no. fasc. 1, 1–95 (French). MR0203082 (34 #2940)

[9] Theodore Frankel, *Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 1–8. MR0131883 (24 #A1730)

[10] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. MR1234037 (94g:14028)

[11] G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1965. MR0207883 (34 #7696)

[12] Hiroaki Ishida and Yael Karshon, *Completely integrable torus actions on complex manifolds with fixed points*, to appear in Math. Res. Lett., available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.0789`.

[13] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 15 Vol. II, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1969. MR0238225 (38 #6501)

[14] Santiago López de Medrano and Alberto Verjovsky, *A new family of complex, compact, non-symplectic manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 253–269, DOI 10.1007/BF01233394. MR1479504 (98g:32047)

[15] Zhi Lü and Taras Panov, *Moment-angle complexes from simplicial posets*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9** (2011), no. 4, 715–730, DOI 10.2478/s11533-011-0041-z. MR2805305 (2012e:57060)

[16] Mikiya Masuda, *Unitary toric manifolds, multi-fans and equivariant index*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **51** (1999), no. 2, 237–265, DOI 10.2748/tmj/1178224815. MR1689995 (2000e:57058)

[17] Laurent Meersseman, *A new geometric construction of compact complex manifolds in any dimension*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), no. 1, 79–115, DOI 10.1007/s002080050360. MR1760670 (2001i:32029)

[18] Laurent Meersseman and Alberto Verjovsky, *Holomorphic principal bundles over projective toric varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **572** (2004), 57–96, DOI 10.1515/crll.2004.054. MR2076120 (2005e:14080)

[19] Tadao Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties; Translated from the Japanese. MR922894 (88m:14038)

[20] Taras Panov and Yuri Ustinovsky, *Complex-analytic structures on moment-angle manifolds*, Mosc. Math. J. **12** (2012), no. 1, 149–172, 216 (English, with English and Russian summaries). MR2952429

[21] Jérôme Tambour, *LVMB manifolds and simplicial spheres*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **62** (2012), no. 4, 1289–1317, DOI 10.5802/aif.2723.

[22] Nguyen Tien Zung and Nguyen Van Minh, *Geometry of nondegenerate* $\mathbb{R}^n$*-actions on n-manifolds*, preprint, available at `http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1203.2765`.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `ishida@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp`